Gauge theories as a geometrical issue of a Kaluza-Klein framework

Francesco Cianfrani*, Andrea Marrocco*, Giovanni Montani*

*ICRA—International Center for Relativistic Astrophysics
Dipartimento di Fisica (G9),
Università di Roma, “La Sapienza",
Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Rome, Italy.
e-mail: montani@icra.it
marroccoandrea@hotmail.com
francesco.cianfrani@icra.it

PACS: 11.15.-q, 04.50.+h

arXiv:gr-qc/0508126v2 11 Nov 2005

Abstract

We present a geometrical unification theory in a Kaluza-Klein approach that achieve the geometrization of a generic gauge theory bosonic component.

We show how it is possible to derive the gauge charge conservation from the invariance of the model under extra-dimensional translations and to geometrize gauge connections for spinors, thus we can introduce the matter just by free spinorial fields. Then, we present the applications to i)a pentadimensional manifold $V^4 \otimes S^1$, so reproducing the original Kaluza-Klein theory, unless some extensions related to the rule of the scalar field contained in the metric and the introduction of matter by spinors with a phase dependence from the fifth coordinate, ii)a seven-dimensional manifold $V^4 \otimes S^1 \otimes S^2$, in which we geometrize the electro-weak model by introducing two spinors for any leptonic family and quark generation and a scalar field with two components with opposite hypercharge, responsible of spontaneous symmetry breaking.

1 Introduction

Once in 1916 General Relativity theory appeared, by virtue of Albert Einstein's genial recognition of the general space-time structure, it arose the idea that all fundamental interactions of Nature could admit a geometrical interpretation, i.e. developed the so-called "geometrical unification theories".

In four space-time dimensions, the Riemmannian dynamics admits enough degrees of freedom to describe only the gravitational field, whereas all the others ones have to be regarded as "matter" which influences the metric of the space-time by its energy-momentum.

As well known, a natural way to enlarge the number of geometrical degrees of freedom consists of adding extradimensions to the space-time and then using the new available metric components to describe other fundamental field of Nature. Such a point of view was addressed first by Kaluza and Klein, who provided an independent geometrization of the electromagnetic field via a 5-dimensional space-time geometry; it is just since them that this kind of approach to geometrical unification of the fundamental interactions acquired the name of "Kaluza-Klein theories". It is worth noting how the main achievement reached by Kaluza [1] consisted of recognizing the multidimensional idea of unification, while Klein [2] [3] had the merit to clarify how the extradimension can acquire a precise physical meaning under a suitable topology choice, i. e. the so-called "compactification of the dimension".

After them the idea of multidimensionality acquired a physical plausibility and stimulated many works [4] [5]; however the Kaluza-Klein approach manifested all its powerful capacity to represent Nature in a geometrical picture, only when it was shown how the choice of an extradimensional compact homogeneous space (for the proprieties of homogeneous spaces see [6]), allowed the geometrization of the non-Abelian gauge theories, i.e. of the so-called Yang-Mills fields [7]-[14] (for a complete review see [15]); the leading idea of this success rely on the correspondence between the isometry of the internal space and the Lie algebra of the non-Abelian group.

In spite of the surprising performance of the Kaluza-Klein point of view in geometrizing the bosonic component of the fundamental interactions, it shows serious shortcomings when the attempt of extending the procedure to the fermionic fields is faced (without introducing supersymmetries in the scheme [16] [17]), thus in our work we introduce fermions as matter fields. However, assuming suitable hypothesis for the dependence from extra-coordinates, it is possible to geometrize gauge connections [18], thus we have to introduce just free spinors.

This assumption is also justified by the fact that it leads to the identification of extra-components of the fields momentum with gauge charges, whose conservation thus is consequence of the invariance of the theory under extra-dimensional translations that can be interpreted as gauge transformations.

In our work, we consider a "pure" Kaluza-Klein approach, i.e. extradimensions are spacelike and compact; however there are alternative models, such as *projective* theories [19]-[22], in which they introduce not physically real extracoordinates, and *non compattified* theories [23]-[29], that assume noncompact extra-dimensional manifold with not

necessary spacelike coordinates.
Here the only extension respect to canonical Kaluza-Klein theories is the introduction of a dependence of the extra-dimensional metric by four-dimensional coordinates by some scalar fields alpha, for which we get the dynamics.

The most important problem we face by extending the Kaluza-Klein approach to more than five dimensions is that a ground state direct product of a Minkosky space and a compattified one is not a solution of vacuum Einstein equations [30] [31] [32] [33]. A possible solution to this feature is the introduction of suitable matter field, by which it is also possible to give an explanation for the breaking of general invariance with *Spontaneous Compactification* processes [34]-[41] (for a review [42]). They consist in the application of spontaneous symmetry breaking mechanism to space-time by introducing a field whose Lagrangian is invariant under general coordinates transformations but it is in a vacuum state which breaks symmetry, maintaining just general four-dimensional invariance and invariance under transformations on extra-space which reproduce on fields gauge transformations.
However, as point out by Witten [43], a Minkosky plus compact ground state can also be the minimum of some potential of a quantistic theory.
Other problems arise when we consider a non-abelian gauge group; in particular to reproduce the correct transformation law either for gauge bosons either for matter fields, and also to get the fields equations in the four-dimensional theory, every four-dimensional model has to be consider as a phenomenological theory built by an observer who cannot see extra-dimensions.
Moreover, free Dirac lagrangian dimensional splitting produces four-dimensional Dirac lagrangian with spinorial gauge connections plus adjunctive terms that can be eliminated with suitable assumptions for extra-dimensional spinorial connections [18]; this feature arises the problem of the physical interpretation to give to connections in a manifold along which we consider only translations.

In particular in our work we present, as first application of the general case, the Kaluza-Klein theory in 5 dimensions which leads to the geometrization of the electromagnetic interaction, thus of a U(1) gauge theory; we develop a theory in which the quantum electrodynamics emerges in natural way when reducing all the dynamical variables to their 4-dimensional status.
Connected with this main result, we also face the problem about the physical interpretation of the scalar field associated to the $5-5$ component of the metric tensor; indeed when we take $j_{55}=1$, in the 5-dimensional Lagrangian, the presented theory reduces exactly to the Einstein-Maxwell-Dirac dynamics, but the most general case involves a scalar field whose dynamics do not resemble any physical field. We show that by the introduction of a new scalar field, linked to the original one, and by a conformal transformation operated on the four-dimensional metric, it is possible to obtain a term in the action (as well as an energy-momentum tensor) for the new scalar dynamics which looks like that one of a Klein-Fock field.

Then, we demonstrate by a classical calculation the equivalence between the fifth component of 5-momentum and the electric charge and, following such a procedure, we also obtain an estimate for the length L of the fifth dimension. We reliably expect that this relation be valid in general and then treating with charged particles we have to provide the corresponding field with a phase dependence on the fifth coordinate.

However it is Well known [46] that the introduction of a spinorial field on a curved space-time, leads to define a new covariant derivation made up of the ordinary one plus a new term, which acts only on spinorial quantities via a specific connection called just spinorial connection.

This new derivation is necessary to make possible the extension to a curved space-time of the whole paradigm for the Dirac's algebra; in particular the spinorial covariant derivative is constructed by requiring that it anhilates the Dirac's matrices on curved space-time.

Once defined the spinorial covariant derivative for a five-dimensional space-time, we show that if we assume a non-standard form for the connections we obtain the desired electrodynamics coupling.

The analysis of the pentadimensional case is concluded via considering the modification of the spinorial part of the 4-dimensional action produced by the conformal transformation on the 4-dimensional metric tensor. More precisely, we show that, if we don't operate a suitable conformal transformation on the spinorial field, then we obtain a Dirac equation for ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ which leads to the non-conservation of the electric charge.

The other application we consider is the geometrization of the electro-weak model, thus of a gauge group $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$; the problem that affect multidimensional theories which deal with electro-weak interaction is the so-called *chirality problem* [43] [44], the problem to reproduce spinors with opposite chirality with different interaction proprieties. Here we overall it by assuming for different chirality states different dependence by extra-coordinates and we derive all standard model particles from just two spinors for every leptonic family and quark generation, thus obtaining a reduction of the number of matter fields than Standard Model.

Then, to reproduce Higgs bosons we introduce a scalar field subjected to Higgs potential and, to realize invariant fermionic mass terms, we predict it has two components with opposite hypercharge; however dimensional splitting produces adjunctive Higgs mass term $\approx 10^{19} GeV$ which will impose extremely accurate fine-tuning on the parameters of Higgs potential. Another sources of spontaneous symmetry breaking may be the fields responsible of spontaneous compattification process or alpha fields, which we show can be interpreted as Klein-Gordon fields.

In particular, the organization of all these features in the work is the following:

- in the first chapter we deal with the general case: in section 1 we achieve a generic gauge theory geometrization in presence only of gauge bosons, obtained using an action which is the n-dimensional generalization of Einstein-Hilbert one, while in section 2 we introduce matter fields and show the equivalence between gauge charges and extra-components of the field momentum, whose conservation comes from the invariance of the theory under extra-dimensional translations; in section 3 we present the case of a free spinorial n-dimensional field and we show how gauge connection for the four-dimensional field associated has a geometric source; we also introduce suitable extra-dimensional spinorial connections in order to reproduce the four-dimensional theory.

- in the second chapter we consider the pentadimensional theory: in section 1 we provide a brief review on the 5-dimensional Kaluza-Klein theory, within which, the electromagnetic interaction finds its natural framework of geometrization; in section 2 we reproduce the classical calculation about the relationship between the fifth component of the momentum and the electric charge and give the classical estimate for the length L of the extra-dimension; in section 3 we introduce in our theory a spinorial field as a matter field and show that it is possible to obtain the gauge coupling of Q.E.D. if we assume a non-standard form of spinorial connections and provide the spinorial field with a phase dependence on the fifth coordinate, then we also give an independent estimate of L which agrees with the classical one; in section 4 we define a new scalar field and operate a conformal transformation on the four-dimensional metric in such a way that the new field is a Klein-Fock one; in section 5 we study the modification of the spinorial part of the 4-dimensional action due to the conformal transformation operated on the 4-dimensional metric and show that if we don't operate an appropriate transformation on the spinorial field we get inevitably the non-conservation of the electric charge; in section 6 we present a cosmological implementation of the model by which we can explain the compattification of the fifth dimension and we predict for fundamental constants a dependence from space-time extra-coordinates.

- the third chapter is the application to a gauge group $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$ in a space-time $V^4 \otimes S^1 \otimes S^2$: in section 1 by introducing two spinors for every leptonic family and quark generation we overall chirality problem and reproduce all standard model particle and, by imposing after dimensional reduction the coincidence of our Lagrangian with electro-weak plus Einstein-Hilbert ones, we also obtain an estimate of extra-dimensions length; in section 5 we consider a two components scalar field subjected to a Higgs potential, whose four-dimensional reduction has the same lagrangian density as Higgs field but two components with different hypercharge; moreover the presence of extra-dimensions produces a mass term $\approx 10^{35} GeV$, so to be consistent with limits on Higgs' mass it is necessary an extremely accurate fine-tuning on the parameter μ^2; in section 3 we demonstrate that it is possible to interpret α fields, by a redefinition of them and a conformal transformation on four dimensional metric, as interacting Klein-Gordon fields.

2 Kaluza-Klein theory in general case

2.1 Geometrization of a gauge theory bosonic component

Let consider a n-dimensional space-time manifold $V^4 \otimes B^k$, where V^4 is the ordinary four-dimensional manifold and B^k is a k-dimensional ($k = n-4$) compact homogeneous space such that its Killing vectors reproduce the algebra of the gauge gauge we geometrize

$$\xi^n_{\bar{N}} \frac{\partial \xi^m_{\bar{M}}}{\partial y^n} - \xi^n_{\bar{M}} \frac{\partial \xi^m_{\bar{N}}}{\partial y^n} = C^{\bar{P}}_{\bar{N}\bar{M}} \xi^m_{\bar{P}} \tag{1}$$

where $C^{\bar{P}}_{\bar{N}\bar{M}}$ are gauge group's structures constants.

In general the space-time dimensionality is different from the gauge group one so the number of Killing vector is different from n and we can impose just one of the reciprocity conditions

$$\xi^n_{\bar{N}} \xi^{\bar{M}}_n = \delta^{\bar{M}}_{\bar{N}} \qquad \xi^n_{\bar{M}} \xi^{\bar{M}}_m = \delta^n_m, \tag{2}$$

we will take the first one.

In the following we will consider the variables $x^\mu \quad (\mu = 0, \ldots, 3)$ for the ordinary four-dimensional coordinates, $y^m \quad (m = 0, \ldots, k-1)$ for extra-dimensional ones and $x^A \quad (A = 0, \ldots, n-1)$ for both.

The theory we construct is invariant under the coordinates transformations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} x'^\mu = x'^\mu(x^\nu) \\ y'^m = y^m + \omega^{\bar{N}}(x^\nu)\xi^m_{\bar{N}}(y^n) \end{array} \right. , \tag{3}$$

so we have general invariance under 4-dimensional transformations and the invariance under translations along extra-dimensions, and we write the metric as

$$j_{AB} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} g_{\mu\nu}(x^\rho) + \gamma_{mn}(x^\rho; y^r)\xi^m_{\bar{M}}(y^r)\xi^n_{\bar{N}}(y^r)A^{\bar{M}}_\mu(x^\rho)A^{\bar{N}}_\nu(x^\rho) & \gamma_{mn}(x^\rho; y^r)\xi^m_{\bar{M}}(y^r)A^{\bar{M}}_\mu(x^\rho) \\ \hline \gamma_{mn}(x^\rho; y^r)\xi^n_{\bar{N}}(y^r)A^{\bar{N}}_\nu(x^\rho) & \gamma_{mn}(x^\rho; y^r) \end{array} \right) \tag{4}$$

where we assume that γ_{mn} also depends from the ordinary space-time variables, by some scalar field α that determine the structure of the space B^K

$$\gamma_{mn}(x; y) = \eta_{mr}(x)\overline{\gamma}_{rn}(y) \qquad \eta_{mn}(x) = \eta_{mn}\alpha^m\alpha^n \tag{5}$$

where in the latter the indices m and n are not summed. Under the transformations (3), with ω infinitesimal, the extra-dimensional metric at the first order in ω, using the (4), does not change for the homogeneity of B^K

$$\overline{\gamma}'_{rn}(y) = \overline{\gamma}_{rn}(y), \tag{6}$$

while the fields $A_\mu^{\bar{M}}$ behave like Abelian gauge's bosons

$$A'^{\bar{M}}_\mu = \frac{\partial x'^\nu}{\partial x^\mu}\left[A_\nu^{\bar{M}} - \frac{\partial \omega^{\bar{M}}}{\partial x'^\nu}\right] \tag{7}$$

and $g_{\mu\nu}$ like a four-dimensional tensor

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{\partial x'^\rho}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial x'^\sigma}{\partial x^\nu} g'_{\rho\sigma}(x') \tag{8}$$

so it can be identified with four-dimensional metric.
In order to interpret the fields $A_\mu^{\bar{M}}$ as a generic gauge theory's bosons it is necessary to consider the way an observer who perceives a four-dimensional space-time reveals a transformation on extra-dimensions, which are compattified to such distance he cannot see them. In fact, the variation of the component $j_{m\mu}$ under the second of (3) is [37] at the first order in ω

$$\delta j_{m\mu}(x;y) = j'_{m\mu}(x;y) - j_{m\mu}(x;y) = \gamma_{mn}(x;y)\xi^n_{\bar{M}}(y)\left(C^{\bar{M}}_{\bar{P}\bar{Q}}\omega^{\bar{Q}}(x)A^{\bar{P}}_\mu(x) + \frac{\partial \omega^{\bar{M}}(x)}{\partial x^\mu}\right) \tag{9}$$

where the variation is a consequence of both the variations of $A_\mu^{\bar{M}}$ and $\xi^m_{\bar{M}}$; but Killing vectors are defined on extra-dimensional manifold and so neither them neither their changing are observable. Consequently, a four-dimensional observer interprets (9) as due only to the variations of the fields $A_\mu^{\bar{M}}$

$$\delta j_{m\mu}(x;y) = \gamma_{mn}(x;y)\xi^n_{\bar{M}}(y)\delta A^{\bar{M}}_\mu \tag{10}$$

and, thus, at the first order in ω

$$A'^{\bar{M}}_\mu(x) = A^{\bar{M}}_\mu(x) + C^{\bar{M}}_{\bar{P}\bar{Q}}\omega^{\bar{Q}}(x)A^{\bar{P}}_\mu(x) + \frac{\partial \omega^{\bar{M}}(x)}{\partial x^\mu}. \tag{11}$$

Therefore, *from the point of view of an observer who cannot see extra-dimensions, the fields* $A_\mu^{\bar{M}}$ *behave under translations on the extra-coordinates like gauge bosons under gauge transformations*, where the group's structures constants are those which come from Killing vector's algebra.

To invert the matrix j_{AB} we define a new dual space base

$$d\widetilde{x}^\mu = dx^\mu \qquad d\widetilde{y}^m = dy^m + \xi^m_{\bar{M}}A^{\bar{M}}_\mu dx^\mu \tag{12}$$

and the new metric is

$$\widetilde{j}_{AB} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} g_{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \gamma_{mn}\end{array}\right) \tag{13}$$

which can easily be inverted

$$\widetilde{j}^{AB} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} g^{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \gamma^{mn}\end{array}\right) \tag{14}$$

and we have

$$j^{AB} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} g^{\mu\nu}(x^\rho) & g^{\rho\mu}\xi^n_{\bar{M}}(y^r)A^{\bar{M}}_\rho(x^\sigma) \\ \hline g^{\rho\nu}\xi^m_{\bar{M}}(y^r)A^{\bar{M}}_\rho(x^\sigma) & \gamma^{mn}(x^\rho;y^r)+\xi^m_{\bar{M}}(y^r)\xi^n_{\bar{N}}(y^r)A^{\bar{M}}_\mu(x^\rho)A^{\bar{N}}_\nu(x^\rho) \end{array} \right). \tag{15}$$

Moreover, we get

$$j \equiv det(j_{AB}) = det(\widetilde{j}_{AB}) \tag{16}$$

and so, from (13)

$$det(j_{AB}) = \gamma g \tag{17}$$

where g is the determinant of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and γ of γ_{mn}.

We want to derive the dynamic of the fields contained in the metric from a variational principle, so we consider the action which is the n-dimensional extension of the Einstein-Hilbert one

$$S = -\frac{c^4}{16\pi G_{(n)}}\int {}^nR\sqrt{-j}d^4xd^ky \tag{18}$$

and we use the tetradic formalism to calculate it and to split the curvature into pure four-dimensional terms and terms which descend from the presence of adjunctive dimensions and which describe $A^{\bar{M}}_\mu$ and α^m dynamics.
From the relation of tetradic vectors $e^{(A)}_A$ with the metric

$$j_{AB} = \eta_{(A)(B)}e^{(A)}_A e^{(B)}_B \tag{19}$$

we have the following expressions

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{(\mu)(\nu)}e^{(\mu)}_\mu e^{(\nu)}_\nu \\ e^{(m)}_\mu = e^{(m)}_m \xi^m_{\bar{M}} A^{\bar{M}}_\mu \\ e^{(\mu)}_m = 0 \\ \gamma_{mn} = \eta_{(m)(n)}e^{(m)}_m e^{(n)}_n \end{array} \right. , \tag{20}$$

and using the reciprocity conditions $e^A_{(A)}e^{(A)}_B = \delta^A_B \quad e^A_{(B)}e^{(A)}_A = \delta^{(A)}_{(B)}$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{(\mu)(\nu)}e^\mu_{(\mu)}e^\nu_{(\nu)} \\ e^m_{(\mu)} = -e^\mu_\mu \xi^m_{\bar{M}} A^{\bar{M}}_\mu \\ e^\mu_{(m)} = 0 \\ \gamma^{mn} = \eta^{(m)(n)}e^m_{(m)}e^n_{(n)} \end{array} \right. . \tag{21}$$

From (1), (19) and Killing equation

$$\frac{\partial \xi^r_{\bar{M}}}{\partial y^n}\gamma_{mr} + \frac{\partial \xi^r_{\bar{M}}}{\partial y^m}\gamma_{rn} + \xi^r_{\bar{M}}\frac{\partial \gamma_{mn}}{\partial y^r} = 0, \tag{22}$$

we get two relations that will be useful in the following

$$\frac{\partial \xi^r_{\bar{M}}}{\partial y^n} = -\xi^s_{\bar{M}} e^r_{(n)} \frac{\partial e^{(n)}_n}{\partial y^s}. \tag{23}$$

$$\partial_p e^{(r)}_n - \partial_n e^{(r)}_p = C^{\bar{P}}_{\bar{Q}\bar{M}} \xi^s_{\bar{P}} \xi^{\bar{Q}}_p \xi^{\bar{M}}_n e^{(r)}_s. \tag{24}$$

We can get ${}^nR$ from its relations with Ricci rotation coefficients that we obtain from the anolonomy tensor.

We carry out the calculation in a local Lorentz frame, in which

$$e^{\bar{\mu}}_{\mu} = \delta^{\bar{\mu}}_{\mu} \tag{25}$$

and at the end we will restore the general invariance by substituting all the ordinary derivative respect to the variables x^μ with the covariant ones, respect to the same variables.
Using (24) and (25) we have for the anolonomy tensor

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \lambda_{(m)(\mu)(\nu)} = \eta_{(m)(n)} \delta^{\mu}_{(\mu)} \delta^{\nu}_{(\nu)} e^{(n)}_r \xi^r_{\bar{M}} F^{\bar{M}}_{\mu\nu} \\ \\ \lambda_{(m)(n)(\mu)} = \eta_{(m)(r)} e^n_{(n)} \delta^{\mu}_{(\mu)} (\partial_\mu e^{(r)}_n - 2A^{\bar{M}}_\mu e^{(r)}_s \xi^s_{\bar{P}} \xi^{\bar{Q}}_n C^{\bar{P}}_{\bar{Q}\bar{M}}) \\ \\ \lambda_{(m)(n)(p)} = \eta_{(m)(r)} e^n_{(n)} e^p_{(p)} e^{(r)}_s C^{\bar{P}}_{\bar{Q}\bar{M}} \xi^s_{\bar{P}} \xi^{\bar{Q}}_p \xi^{\bar{M}}_n \end{array} \right. \tag{26}$$

where

$$F^{\bar{M}}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\nu A^{\bar{M}}_\mu - \partial_\mu A^{\bar{M}}_\nu + C^{\bar{M}}_{\bar{N}\bar{P}} A^{\bar{N}}_\mu A^{\bar{P}}_\nu \tag{27}$$

and for Ricci rotation coefficients

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} R_{(\mu)(\nu)(m)} = -\frac{1}{2} \eta_{(m)(n)} \delta^{\mu}_{(\mu)} \delta^{\nu}_{(\nu)} e^{(n)}_r \xi^r_{\bar{M}} F^{\bar{M}}_{\mu\nu} \\ \\ R_{(m)(\mu)(\nu)} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{(m)(n)} \delta^{\mu}_{(\mu)} \delta^{\nu}_{(\nu)} e^{(n)}_r \xi^r_{\bar{M}} F^{\bar{M}}_{\mu\nu} \\ \\ R_{(\mu)(m)(n)} = \lambda_{\{(m)(n)\}(\mu)} \\ \\ R_{(m)(n)(\mu)} = \lambda_{[(m)(n)](\mu)} \\ \\ R_{(m)(n)(p)} = \frac{1}{2} [\lambda_{(m)(n)(p)} + \lambda_{(n)(p)(m)} + \lambda_{(p)(n)(m)}] \end{array} \right. \tag{28}$$

from which, by means of the hypothesi that $C^{\bar{P}}_{\bar{M}\bar{N}}$ are totally antisymmetric, (5) and (23)

$${}^nR = R - \frac{1}{4} \gamma_{rs} \xi^r_{\bar{M}} \xi^s_{\bar{N}} F^{\bar{M}}_{\mu\nu} F^{\bar{N}}_{\rho\sigma} g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} - 2g^{\mu\nu} \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{\nabla_\mu \partial_\nu \alpha^n}{\alpha^n} - g^{\mu\nu} \sum_{n \neq m=1}^{k} \frac{\partial_\mu \alpha^m}{\alpha^m} \frac{\partial_\nu \alpha^n}{\alpha^n} + R_N \tag{29}$$

where R is the four-dimensional curvature and R_N the curvature term

$$R_N = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{ns}\xi_n^{\bar{Q}}\xi_s^{\bar{T}}C^{\bar{P}}_{\bar{R}\bar{Q}}C^{\bar{R}}_{\bar{T}\bar{P}} - \frac{1}{4}\gamma^{mr}\gamma^{ns}\gamma_{tu}\xi_m^{\bar{M}}\xi_r^{\bar{T}}\xi_n^{\bar{Q}}\xi_s^{\bar{S}}\xi^t_{\bar{P}}\xi^u_{\bar{R}}C^{\bar{P}}_{\bar{Q}\bar{M}}C^{\bar{R}}_{\bar{T}\bar{S}}$$

and just contains interactions among the fields α.
So the action (18) is

$$S = -\frac{c^3}{16\pi G_{(n)}}\int_{V^4\otimes B^K}\sqrt{-\gamma}\sqrt{-g}\Big[R - \frac{1}{4}\gamma_{rs}\xi^r_{\bar{M}}\xi^s_{\bar{N}}F^{\bar{M}}_{\mu\nu}F^{\bar{N}}_{\rho\sigma}g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\sigma} -$$

$$-2g^{\mu\nu}\sum_{n=1}^{k}\frac{\nabla_\mu\partial_\nu\alpha^n}{\alpha^n} - g^{\mu\nu}\sum_{n\neq m=1}^{k}\frac{\partial_\mu\alpha^m}{\alpha^m}\frac{\partial_\nu\alpha^n}{\alpha^n} + R_N\Big]d^4x d^k y;$$

and assuming that given a Killing vector $\xi^r_{\bar{M}}$ all α^r are equal for vector's r-components which are not zero and are defined $\alpha^{\bar{M}}$, we have

$$S = -\frac{c^3}{16\pi G}\int_{V^4}\sqrt{-g}\Big[R - \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\bar{M}\bar{N}}\alpha^{\bar{M}}\alpha^{\bar{N}}F^{\bar{M}}_{\mu\nu}F^{\bar{N}}_{\rho\sigma}g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\sigma} -$$

$$-2g^{\mu\nu}\sum_{n=1}^{k}\frac{\nabla_\mu\partial_\nu\alpha^n}{\alpha^n} - g^{\mu\nu}\sum_{n\neq m=1}^{k}\frac{\partial_\mu\alpha^m}{\alpha^m}\frac{\partial_\nu\alpha^n}{\alpha^n} + R'_N\Big]d^4x \tag{30}$$

with the following positions

$$G = \frac{G_{(n)}}{V^K} \tag{31}$$

$$\int_{B^K}\sqrt{-\gamma}[\overline{\gamma}_{rs}\xi^r_{\bar{M}}\xi^s_{\bar{N}}]d^k y = V^K\eta_{\bar{M}\bar{N}} \tag{32}$$

$$R'_N = \frac{1}{V^K}\int_{B^K}\sqrt{-\gamma}R_N d^k y. \tag{33}$$

where V^K is B^K space's volume.
Thus we get *the geometrization of a generic gauge theory and its unification with gravity, in the sense that both Einstein-Hilbert action and Yang-Mills action derive from dimensional splitting of n-dimensional curvature.*
So gauge bosons can be interpreted like geometrical terms; the next steps are to introduce matter and to geometrize its coupling with them.

2.2 Momentum extra-components as gauge charges

Let introduce in empty space-time $M^4 \otimes B^K$ some matter fields φ_r whose dynamic is described by a lagrangian density

$$\Lambda = \Lambda(\varphi_r; \partial_A\varphi_r) \tag{34}$$

which is invariant under the infinitesimal coordinates transformations

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} x'^{\mu} = x^{\mu} + \delta\omega^{\mu} \\ y'^{m} = y^{m} + \delta\omega^{\bar{P}}\xi^{m}_{\bar{P}} \end{array}\right. \tag{35}$$

that can be rewrite as

$$x'^{A} = x^{A} + \delta\omega^{\bar{B}}u^{A}_{\bar{B}} \tag{36}$$

where $\delta\omega^{\bar{A}} = (\delta\omega^{\bar{\mu}}; \delta\omega^{\bar{P}})$ and

$$u^{A}_{\bar{\mu}} = (\delta^{\mu}_{\bar{\mu}}; 0) \qquad u^{A}_{\bar{M}} = (0; \xi^{m}_{\bar{M}}). \tag{37}$$

Let consider the invariance under global transformations, thus we choice $\delta\omega^{\bar{A}}$ constant, so we get for fields transformations

$$\varphi'_r = \varphi_r + \delta\varphi_r, \qquad \delta\varphi_r = \partial_A\varphi_r u^{A}_{\bar{B}}\delta\omega^{\bar{B}} \tag{38}$$

and for lagrangian density

$$\delta\Lambda = (\partial_A\Lambda)u^{A}_{\bar{B}}\delta\omega^{\bar{B}} = \frac{\partial\Lambda}{\partial\varphi_r}\delta\varphi_r + \frac{\partial\Lambda}{\partial(\partial_A\varphi_r)}\delta(\partial_A\varphi_r) =$$

$$= \partial_A\left(\frac{\partial\Lambda}{\partial(\partial_A\varphi_r)}\delta\varphi_r\right) - \left[\partial_A\left(\frac{\partial\Lambda}{\partial(\partial_A\varphi_r)}\right) - \frac{\partial\Lambda}{\partial\varphi_r}\right]\delta\varphi_r$$

from which, using Euler-Lagrange equations in a curved space

$$\nabla_A\left(\frac{\partial\Lambda}{\partial(\partial_A\varphi_r)}\right) - \frac{\partial\Lambda}{\partial\varphi_r} = 0 \tag{39}$$

and the condition that the connection is metric for γ_{mn}

$$\nabla_r\gamma_{mn} = 0 \tag{40}$$

which lead to

$$\nabla_A u^{A}_{\bar{B}} = 0, \tag{41}$$

we have

$$\nabla_A\left(\frac{\partial\Lambda}{\partial(\partial_A\varphi_r)}(\partial_C\varphi_r)u^{C}_{\bar{B}} - \Lambda u^{A}_{\bar{B}}\right) = 0. \tag{42}$$

The (42) is a continuity equation and the associated conserved quantities are n-dimensional components of fields momentum [45]

$$P_{\bar{A}} = \int_{E^3\otimes B^K}\sqrt{-\gamma}[\Pi_r(\partial_B\varphi_r)u^{B}_{\bar{A}} - \Lambda u^{0}_{\bar{A}}]d^3x d^k y \tag{43}$$

where E^3 is euclidean three-dimensional space and Π_r the fields conjugated to φ_r

$$\Pi_r = \frac{\partial\Lambda}{\partial(\partial_t\varphi_r)}. \tag{44}$$

Thus if we assume that φ_r dependence from extra-coordinates is of the type

$$\varphi_r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V^K}} e^{-i\tau_{rs}(y^m)}\phi_s(x^\mu) \qquad \Pi_r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V^K}}\pi_s(x^\mu)e^{i\tau_{sr}(y^m)} \tag{45}$$

we have

$$Q_\mu = P_\mu = \int_{E^3}[\pi_r\partial_\mu\phi_r - \Lambda\delta^0_\mu]d^3x \tag{46}$$

$$Q_{\bar M} = -i\int_{E^3\otimes B^K}\frac{\sqrt{-\gamma}}{V^K}[\pi_r\xi^n_{\bar M}\partial_n\tau_{rs}(y^m)\phi_s]d^3x d^K y \tag{47}$$

the first terms are the ordinary four-dimensional components of fields momentum, which are therefore conserved, while to interpret the other ones like conserved gauge charges we put [18]

$$\tau_{rs}(y^m) = T_{\bar P rs}\lambda^{\bar P}_{\bar Q}\Theta^{\bar Q}(y^m) \tag{48}$$

with $T_{\bar P}$ the generators of the gauge group that acts on the fields ϕ_s, $\Theta^{\bar Q}$ functions of the extra-dimensional variables expandable in generalized Fourier series [1] and the constant matrix λ such that

$$(\lambda^{-1})^{\bar P}_{\bar Q} = \frac{1}{V^K}\int_{B^K}\sqrt{-\gamma}\Big(\xi^m_{\bar Q}\partial_m\Theta^{\bar P}\Big)d^K y. \tag{49}$$

In fact from (45), (48) and (49) we can rewrite (47) as

$$Q_{\bar M} = -i\int_{E^3}(\pi_r T_{\bar M rs}\phi_s)d^3x, \tag{50}$$

so *we can interpret gauge charges as extra-dimensional components of fields momentum* and their conservation comes from the invariance of the theory under translations along extra-dimensional coordinates.

This suggests to identify gauge transformations with extra-dimensional translations, but from (45) the transformation law for the fields is

$$\phi'_r = \phi_r + i\delta\omega^{\bar Q}\lambda^{\bar N}_{\bar Q}\xi^m_{\bar N}\partial_m\Theta^{\bar P}T_{\bar P rs}\phi_s \tag{51}$$

which coincides with gauge transformation law only if

$$\xi^m_{\bar N}\partial_m\Theta^{\bar P} = \delta^{\bar P}_{\bar N} \tag{52}$$

and the last equation is equivalent to the vanishing of all structures constants; thus the equivalence between gauge transformation and extra-dimensional translations is immediate only in the Abelian case.

Nevertheless, we have to consider that an observer, because of compattification, cannot

---

[1] We stress how the functions Θ^P can not be pure scalars, otherwise the matrix $(\lambda^{-1})^P_Q$ vanishes identically. On the other hand they have to be invariants under extra-coordinates transformations (isometries); thus the natural choice for Θ^P is to take scalar density of weight $\frac{1}{2}$, i.e. $\Theta^P = \sqrt{\gamma}\phi^P(y^l)$, being ϕ^P non-constant scalars.

see extra-dimensions, so he considers as the same physical state states with equal ordinary four-dimensional coordinates and different extra-dimensional ones and, hence, the result of the measurement of a physical quantity on an eigenstate of position is obtained by an integration on the adjunctive variables.
Therefore, the fields transformation law viewed by a four-dimensional observer is

$$\phi'_r = \frac{1}{V^K}\int\sqrt{-\gamma}(\phi_r + i\delta\omega^{\bar{Q}}\lambda^{\bar{N}}_{\bar{Q}}\xi^m_{\bar{N}}\partial_m\Theta^{\bar{P}}T_{\bar{P}rs}\phi_s)d^ky = \phi_r + i\delta\omega^{\bar{Q}}T_{\bar{Q}rs}\phi_s. \tag{53}$$

which is the correct law for fields under gauge transformations.
We, thus, interpret *gauge transformations as the result of translations on the extra-dimensions and of their compattification.*

The same considerations stand for equations of fields motion in order to eliminate the dependence from extra-coordinates which obviously are not present in four-dimensional theory. In this sense we remaind to next work the check that from n-dimensional Einstein equations in the vacuum, which are the equations one gets from (18), by an integration on extra-dimensional variables we obtain four-dimensional Einstein equations in presence of gauge bosons fields and of α fields.

2.3 N-dimensional spinorial field

We introduce matter as spinorial fields and assume a lagrangian density which is the n-dimensional extension of Dirac one

$$\Lambda = \frac{i\hbar c}{2}[D_{(A)}\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{(A)}\Psi - \bar{\Psi}\gamma^{(A)}D_{(A)}\Psi]; \tag{54}$$

where $\gamma^{(A)}$ are n matrix that satisfy the conditions of Dirac algebra

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} [\gamma^{(A)};\gamma^{(B)}] = 2I\eta^{(A)(B)} \\ \partial_A\gamma^{(B)} = 0 \\ (\gamma^{(A)})^\dagger = \gamma^{(0)}\gamma^{(A)}\gamma^{(0)} \end{array}\right. \tag{55}$$

and

$$D_{(A)}\Psi = \partial_{(A)}\Psi - \Gamma_{(A)}\Psi \qquad D_{(A)}\bar{\Psi} = \partial_{(A)}\bar{\Psi} + \bar{\Psi}\Gamma_{(A)}. \tag{56}$$

In the following we assume that $\gamma^{(\mu)}$ and $\Gamma_{(\mu)}$ are equal to the four-dimensional ones.
Now, we can carry out the dimensional reduction of the action which we get from (54)

$$S = \frac{ic\hbar}{2}\int\sqrt{\gamma}\sqrt{-g}[(\partial_{(\mu)} + \Gamma_{(\mu)})\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{(\mu)}\Psi - \bar{\Psi}\gamma^{(\mu)}(\partial_{(\mu)} - \Gamma_{(\mu)})\Psi + \\ +(\partial_{(m)} + \Gamma_{(m)})\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{(m)}\Psi - \bar{\Psi}\gamma^{(m)}(\partial_{(m)} - \Gamma_{(m)})\Psi]d^4xd^ky$$

and, using (45),(48) and (49), by the integration on extra-coordinates we have

$$S = \frac{ic\hbar}{2}\int[(\partial_{(\mu)} - ie^{\mu}_{(\mu)}A^{\bar{M}}_{\mu}T_{\bar{M}} + \Gamma_{(\mu)})\bar{\psi}\gamma^{(\mu)}\psi - \bar{\psi}\gamma^{(\mu)}(\partial_{(\mu)} + ie^{\mu}_{(\mu)}A^{\bar{M}}_{\mu}T_{\bar{M}} - \Gamma_{(\mu)})\psi +$$

$$+(-\widetilde{\Gamma}_{(m)}+\Gamma_{(m)})\bar{\psi}\gamma^{(m)}\psi-\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{(m)}(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{(m)}-\Gamma_{(m)})\psi]\sqrt{-g}d^4x \tag{57}$$

where $\psi=\psi(x^\mu)$ and

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{(m)}=\frac{i}{V^K}\lambda^{\bar{P}}_{\bar{Q}}T_{\bar{P}}\int_{B^K}(\sqrt{-\gamma}e^m_{(m)}\partial_m\Theta^{\bar{Q}})d^Ky. \tag{58}$$

Thus, the interaction terms between gauge bosons and spinorial fields descends naturally by the splitting, but the theory also predict adjunctive terms that can be eliminated imposing

$$\Gamma_{(m)}=\widetilde{\Gamma}_{(m)}; \tag{59}$$

making this choice we are considering *a n-dimensional space-time which is not a Riemannian manifold*, but V^4 is still riemannian, because for the four-dimensional connection we take the standard form [46]

$$\Gamma_{(\mu)}=-\frac{1}{4}\gamma^{(\nu)}\nabla_{(\mu)}\gamma_{(\nu)}. \tag{60}$$

The problem we have taking spinorial extra-connections not zero concerns physical interpretation of them, because a spinor does not change under translations, which are the transformations we consider in extra-dimensional space, we expect the connections to be zero. But there is also to consider that the dependence of fields by the coordinates is very different between the ordinary and the extra ones (45), so our physical interpretation about connections could fail when we face extra-dimensions.
However, taking zero extra-connections would produce the ordinary four-dimensional theory plus terms which describe free currents.

3 Kaluza-Klein theory in 5-dimensions (geometrization of the gauge group U(1))

3.1 Kaluza-Klein theory

In the original Kaluza-Klein theory is postulated as space-time a 5-dimensional (smooth) manifold V^5 of class C^∞, which in order to reproduce the algebra of a U(1) group is the direct product between a generic 4-dimensional manifold and a circle of radius ρ, i.e. $V^4\times S^1$; the analysis relies on the hypothesis (cylindricity condition) that there is, in the problem, no dependence on the fifth coordinate, that is

$$j_{AB}=j_{AB}(x^\mu)\qquad\{\mu=0,1,2,3\}, \tag{61}$$

indeed the same restriction must hold for all the observable present in the theory.
It is worth noting how, the requirement to have a compact fifth dimension implies that the metric be periodic in the corresponding coordinate; hence the independence on x^5

has to be regarded as a zero-order cutoff of a Fourier expansion of all physical quantities.

According to (4), the 15 components of the 5-dimensional metric and of its inverse can be recasted in terms of the following 4-dimensional scalar, vector and tensor quantities

$$j_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\mu\nu} + \Phi^2 A_\mu A_\nu & ekA_\mu \\ ekA_\mu & \Phi^2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{62}$$

$$j^{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{\mu\nu} & -ekA^\mu \\ -ekA^\mu & \frac{1}{\Phi^2} + e^2k^2 A_\rho A^\rho \end{pmatrix} \tag{63}$$

where A_μ transforms like an abelian gauge boson, e will be identified with the electric charge and k denotes a constant implied by dimensional considerations, $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the four-dimensional metric and Φ a scalar field.

To get the field equations associated to our assumptions, we adopt a variational principle by taking as Lagrangian density ${}^5\Lambda$ the pentadimensional extension of the Einstein-Hilbert one, i.e.

$${}^5\Lambda = -\frac{c^4}{16\pi G_5}\,{}^5R \tag{64}$$

and the action

$${}^5S = -\frac{c^3}{16\pi G_5}\int \sqrt{-j}\ {}^5R\, d^5\Omega,\ . \tag{65}$$

Being

$$j \equiv det((j_{AB})) = det((\widetilde{j}_{AB})) \equiv \widetilde{j} = \Phi\sqrt{-g}\,, \tag{66}$$

from (29) we get for the quadridimensional action

$$S = -\frac{c^3}{16\pi G}\int \sqrt{-g}[\Phi R + 2\nabla_\mu \partial^\mu \Phi + \frac{e^2k^2}{4}\Phi^3 F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}]\, d\Omega\,; \tag{67}$$

we observe that in the pentadimensional case the curvature terms vanish.

By varying such action with respect to the fields $g^{\alpha\beta}$, Φ and A_μ one obtains a set of 4-dimensional equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} \Phi G^{\alpha\beta} + \nabla^\beta(\partial^\alpha\Phi) - g^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\gamma(\partial^\gamma\Phi) + \frac{e^2k^2}{2}\Phi^3[F^\alpha{}_\mu F^{\beta\mu} - \frac{1}{4}g^{\alpha\beta}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}] = 0 \\ R + \frac{3e^2k^2}{4}\Phi^2 F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} = 0 \\ \nabla_\nu(\Phi^3 F^{\nu\mu}) = 0 \end{cases} . \tag{68}$$

from this system, as soon as we set $\Phi = 1$, we find the usual Einstein-Maxwell equations, but also the unphysical compatibility condition

$$F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{69}$$

To avoid such an inconsistence of the theory, we are lead to impose the condition $\Phi = 1$ before varying the action; in this way we cannot variate respect to Φ so that the second equation in the (68) and, thus, the unphysical condition does not outcome.

3.2 Fifth Component of the Momentum and the Electric Charge

At this point, using the condition $\Phi = 1$, we point out that even by a classic calculation we can get the equivalence existing between the fifth component of the pentamomentum of a particle and the electric charge of the same.
We can start assuming an incoherent dust for which the particles move along the geodesics.
For this reason we assume as action

$$S = -mc \int \sqrt{j_{AB}u^A u^B}\, ds \tag{70}$$

which leads to the equations

$$u^B \nabla_B u_A = 0. \tag{71}$$

Putting $A = 5$, because of the cylindricity condition, one obtains

$$u^\nu \nabla_\nu u_5 = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad u_5 = cost \tag{72}$$

Now, we can calculate the constant k simply by imposing that from the equation $(68)_1$, in which we put $\Phi = 1$, we get Einstein's equations

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\frac{1}{4\pi}[F_{\alpha\rho}F_\beta{}^\rho - \frac{1}{4}g_{\alpha\beta}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}]. \tag{73}$$

The condition needed is just

$$k \equiv \sqrt{4G}/ec^2 \tag{74}$$

and in what follows we will assume it.
Putting $A = \mu$ in (71), neglecting the terms in which the quantities A_ν and $\nabla_\nu A_\mu$ are of order higher to the first, utilizing the cylindricity condition, we get

$$u^\nu \nabla_\nu u_\mu = eku^5 u^\nu F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\sqrt{4G}}{c^2}u^5 u^\nu F_{\mu\nu} \tag{75}$$

which compared with the classical equation

$$u^\nu \nabla_\nu u_\mu = \frac{q}{mc^2}u^\nu F_{\mu\nu} \tag{76}$$

gives

$$u^5 = u_5 = \frac{q}{2m\sqrt{G}}\,. \tag{77}$$

Now being

$$p_A \equiv mcu_A \tag{78}$$

we have what we are looking for that is the relation between the particle charge and the fifth component of its 5-momentum:

$$p_5 = \frac{qc}{\sqrt{4G}}\,. \tag{79}$$

Considering that the fifth dimension is a circumference of length L, because of the periodicity condition it must result

$$p_5 = \frac{2\pi n}{L}\hbar \qquad\qquad (n \in \mathbf{Z}). \tag{80}$$

Comparing this result with (79) one has

$$L = 4\pi\sqrt{G}\frac{\hbar}{ec} \approx 2.37\ 10^{-31}cm \qquad\qquad q = ne \tag{81}$$

Thus we get the quantization of electric charge and an estimate of the compattification in agreement with the fact that extra-dimensions are not observed. Such energies to permit to explore such distances, where extra-dimensions could yeld relevant effects, are still not available.
Another expression for L will be obtained subsequently in the attempt to produce the quantum electrodynamics and we will see that unless a factor $\sqrt{4\pi}$ it will coincide with (81).

3.3 Spinors as Matter Fields and QED Theory

Now we introduce matter by spinorial fields in eigenstates of the momentum fifth component and thus with the following dependence by extra-coordinates

$$\chi(x^A) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}p_5 x^5}\psi(x^\nu) \tag{82}$$

which verify the relations (45) (49) and therefore lead to the conservation of the charge associated to the group U(1); we define Dirac matrices in the pentadimensional curved space by their tetradic projections

$$\gamma^A \equiv V_{(A)}{}^{A}\gamma^{(A)} \qquad \gamma_A \equiv V^{(A)}{}_{A}\gamma_{(A)} \tag{83}$$

for which we assume the following form

$$\gamma^{(\mu)} = \gamma^\mu \qquad \gamma^{(5)} = \gamma_5 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 \tag{84}$$

and in this way they satisfy the Dirac algebra

$$[\gamma^{(A)};\gamma^{(B)}]=2I\eta^{(A)(B)}. \tag{85}$$

For spinorial connections we assume the standard form for the four-dimensional ones and (59) for the extra-dimensional

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma_{(\mu)} = {}^4\,\Gamma_{(\mu)} \equiv -\frac{1}{4}\gamma^{(\rho)}\gamma^{(\sigma)}R_{(\sigma)(\rho)(\mu)} & \text{greek indexes go from 1 to 4} \\ \Gamma_{(5)} = M\mathbf{I} & \text{with M constant and } \mathbf{I} \text{ identity matrix.} \end{cases} \tag{86}$$

However this choice doesn't affect the four-dimensional theory, in fact while we do not have

$$D_A\gamma_B=0 \tag{87}$$

the Dirac algebra is still valid in the quadridimensional space-time

$${}^4D_\mu{}^4\gamma_\nu=0; \tag{88}$$

moreover the Lagrangian density with this form for the spinorial connections

$$\Lambda=-\frac{i\hbar c}{2}\bar\chi\gamma^{(A)}D_{(A)}\chi+\frac{i\hbar c}{2}(D_{(A)}\bar\chi)\gamma^{(A)}\chi+imc^2\bar\chi\chi \tag{89}$$

is still invariant under the most general transformation of coordinate given by (3).

By carrying out the dimensional reduction of the Dirac Lagrangian density one obtains

$${}^5\Lambda=-\frac{i\hbar c}{2}\bar\chi\gamma^{\mu4}D_\mu\chi-\frac{i\hbar c}{2}({}^4D_\mu\bar\chi)\gamma^\mu\chi-\frac{2\pi ek\hbar c}{L}A_\mu\bar\chi\gamma^\mu\chi+\hbar c(iM+\frac{2\pi}{\Phi L})\bar\chi\gamma^{(5)}\chi+imc^2\bar\chi\chi. \tag{90}$$

and imposing

$$M\equiv\frac{i2\pi}{\Phi L} \tag{91}$$

the penultimate term in (90) disappears, thus the action is

$$S=\frac{1}{c}\int\sqrt{-j}\,{}^5\Lambda\,d^4x\,dx^5 \tag{92}$$

from which by integrating on x^5, using the cylindricity condition and the expression of χ and $\bar\chi$, we get

$$S=\frac{1}{c}\int\sqrt{-g}\Phi\big[-\frac{i\hbar c}{2}\bar\psi\gamma^\mu D_\mu\psi+\frac{i\hbar c}{2}(D_\mu\bar\psi)\gamma^\mu\psi-\frac{2\pi ek\hbar c}{L}A_\mu\bar\psi\gamma^\mu\psi+imc^2\bar\psi\psi\big]\,d^4x \tag{93}$$

where now all the quantities are four-dimensional.
Therefore using (67) the total action in presence of matter is

$$\begin{aligned} S = \frac{1}{c}\int\sqrt{-g}\Big[& -\frac{c^4\Phi}{16\pi G}\widehat{R} - \frac{c^4}{8\pi G}\nabla_\mu\partial^\mu\Phi - \frac{1}{16\pi}\frac{e^2k^2\Phi^3c^4}{4G}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \\ & -\frac{i\Phi\hbar c}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu D_\mu\psi + \frac{i\Phi\hbar c}{2}(D_\mu\bar{\psi})\gamma^\mu\psi - \frac{2\pi\Phi ek\hbar c}{L}A_\mu\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi + imc^2\Phi\bar{\psi}\psi\Big]\, d^4x. \end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

We want to stress that what produces the right term is the phase dependence on the fifth coordinate of the spinor field whereas what avoid the generation of the term with the electromagnetic tensor is the particular choice of the spinorial connections.

Now we will consider the condition $\Phi = 1$ to compare the results we have obtained with the well-known theory; in this case the (94) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S = \frac{1}{c}\int\sqrt{-g}\Big[& -\frac{c^4}{16\pi G}\widehat{R} - \frac{1}{16\pi}\frac{e^2k^2c^4}{4G}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{i\hbar c}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu D_\mu\psi \\ & + \frac{i\hbar c}{2}(D_\mu\bar{\psi})\gamma^\mu\psi - \frac{2\pi ek\hbar c}{L}A_\mu\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi + imc^2\bar{\psi}\psi\Big]\, d^4x \end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

where it is clear that we get the ordinary action with the terms $\frac{1}{16\pi}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ and $eA_\mu\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi$ by imposing

$$\begin{cases} \frac{e^2k^2c^4}{4G} = 1 \\ \\ \frac{2\pi ek\hbar c}{L} = e \end{cases} \tag{96}$$

from which we have

$$\begin{cases} k = \frac{\sqrt{4G}}{ec^2} \\ \\ L = 2\pi\sqrt{4G}\frac{\hbar}{ec} = 4.75\, 10^{-31} cm. \end{cases} \tag{97}$$

in agreement with the previous evaluation.

3.4 Φ as a Klein-Fock Field via a Conformal Factor

In this section we demonstrate that it is possible to interpret the scalar field in the metric as a Klein-Fock field; in fact we can carry out a conformal transformation of the four-dimensional metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ in such a way to obtain a new scalar field φ with a Klein-Fock field energy-momentum tensor. We define the conformal transformation

$$\begin{cases} g_{\alpha\beta} \equiv B^2(\varphi(x^\nu))\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta} \\ g^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \frac{1}{B^2(\varphi(x^\nu))}\overline{g}^{\alpha\beta} \end{cases} \tag{98}$$

where $B(\varphi(x^\nu))$ is a function of the scalar field $\varphi(x^\nu)$, never identically zero and of class at least C^2. Also for the new metric stands the relation

$$\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\overline{g}^{\beta\rho} = \delta^\rho_\alpha \tag{99}$$

We redefine Φ

$$\Phi = A(\varphi) \tag{100}$$

from which, because of (42),

$$j_{55} \equiv A^2(\varphi). \tag{101}$$

Our aim is to obtain the new lagrangian density and then the new equations by variational principle. First we have to express the quantities R, $\nabla_\mu\partial^\mu\Phi$ and $F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}$ in the new conformal metric; four-dimensional Christoffel connections in terms of the old metric are

$$\Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \frac{1}{2}g^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\beta g_{\alpha\rho} + \partial_\alpha g_{\rho\beta} - \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta}) \tag{102}$$

from which, by the (98), we have

$$\Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\beta} = \overline{\Gamma}^\rho_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{B^{'}}{B}(\delta^\rho_\alpha\partial_\beta\varphi + \delta^\rho_\beta\partial_\alpha\varphi - \overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\overline{g}^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\sigma\varphi) \tag{103}$$

where

$$B^{'}\partial_\beta\varphi = \partial_\beta B \qquad \text{and} \qquad B^{'} \equiv \frac{dB}{d\varphi}, \tag{104}$$

thus we get

$$\nabla_\beta\partial_\alpha A = A^{'}\overline{\nabla}_\beta\partial_\alpha\varphi + \left(A^{''} - \frac{2A^{'}B^{'}}{B}\right)(\partial_\alpha\varphi)(\partial_\beta\varphi) + \frac{A^{'}B^{'}}{B}\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\overline{g}^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\sigma\varphi)(\partial_\rho\varphi) \tag{105}$$

and as a consequence

$$\nabla_\mu\partial^\mu A = \frac{A^{'}}{B^2}\overline{\nabla}_\mu\partial^\mu\varphi + \left(\frac{A^{''}}{B^2} + \frac{2A^{'}B^{'}}{B^3}\right)\overline{g}^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu\varphi)(\partial_\nu\varphi) \tag{106}$$

where the crossed covariant derivatives are expressed as a function of crossed Christoffel coefficients.

Moreover by the relation which expresses the Ricci's tensor as a function of Christoffel symbols we get, by a calculation rather laborious,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha\beta} = \overline{R}_{\alpha\beta} &+ 2\overline{\nabla}_\alpha\left(\frac{B^{'}}{B}\partial_\beta\varphi\right) + \overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\overline{g}^{\rho\sigma}\overline{\nabla}_\sigma\left(\frac{B^{'}}{B}\partial_\rho\varphi\right) - 2\left(\frac{B^{'}}{B}\right)^2(\partial_\alpha\varphi)(\partial_\beta\varphi) + \\ &+ 2\left(\frac{B^{'}}{B}\right)^2\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\overline{g}^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\rho\varphi)(\partial_\sigma\varphi) \end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \frac{1}{B^2}\overline{R} + \frac{6}{B^2}\overline{g}^{\alpha\beta}\overline{\nabla}_\alpha\left(\frac{B^{'}}{B}\partial_\beta\varphi\right) + 6\left(\frac{B^{'}}{B^2}\right)^2\overline{g}^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\alpha\varphi)(\partial_\beta\varphi) = \\ &= \frac{1}{B^2}\overline{R} + \frac{6B^{'}}{B^3}\overline{g}^{\alpha\beta}\overline{\nabla}_\alpha\partial_\beta\varphi + \frac{6B^{''}}{B^3}\overline{g}^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\alpha\varphi)(\partial_\beta\varphi) \end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

Finally from the definition of $F_{\mu\nu}$ and $F^{\mu\nu}$

$$F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \nabla_\nu A_\mu - \nabla_\mu A_\nu = \partial_\nu A_\mu - \partial_\mu A_\nu \qquad \text{e} \qquad F^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma} \tag{109}$$

it is an immediate consequence

$$\begin{cases} F_{\mu\nu} = \overline{F}_{\mu\nu} \\ F^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = \frac{1}{B^2}\overline{F}^{\mu}{}_{\nu} \\ F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{B^4}\overline{F}^{\mu\nu} \end{cases} . \tag{110}$$

Therefore the lagrangian density in terms of the new four-dimensional metric is

$$\Lambda = -\frac{c^4 A}{16\pi G B^2}\Bigg[\overline{R} + 2\Big(\frac{A^{'}}{A} + 3\frac{B^{'}}{B}\Big)\overline{g}^{\alpha\beta}\overline{\nabla}_\alpha \partial_\beta \varphi + \Big(2\frac{A^{''}}{A} + 4\frac{A^{'}B^{'}}{AB} + 6\frac{B^{''}}{B}\Big)\overline{g}^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\alpha\varphi)(\partial_\beta\varphi) + \\ + \frac{e^2 k^2 A^2}{4B^2}\overline{F}_{\mu\nu}\overline{F}^{\mu\nu}\Bigg] \tag{111}$$

where the two degrees of freedom introduced by the functions $B(\varphi)$ and $A(\varphi)$ can be utilized by imposing

$$\frac{A^{'}}{A} = -2\frac{B^{'}}{B} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \begin{cases} \frac{A^{''}}{A} = 6\Big(\frac{B^{'}}{B}\Big)^2 - 2\frac{B^{''}}{B} \\ A = \frac{\lambda}{B^2} \end{cases} \tag{112}$$

with λ constant, which leads to

$$\Lambda = -\frac{c^4 \lambda}{16\pi G B^4}\Bigg[\overline{R} + 2\Big(\frac{B^{'}}{B}\Big)\overline{g}^{\alpha\beta}\overline{\nabla}_\alpha \partial_\beta \varphi + \Big(4\Big(\frac{B^{'}}{B}\Big)^2 + 2\frac{B^{''}}{B}\Big)\overline{g}^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\alpha\varphi)(\partial_\beta\varphi) + \\ + \frac{e^2 k^2 \lambda^2}{4B^6}\overline{F}_{\mu\nu}\overline{F}^{\mu\nu}\Bigg] . \tag{113}$$

We observe that from (98) we have

$$B^4\sqrt{-\overline{g}} = \sqrt{-g}. \tag{114}$$

and thus the action is

$$S = \frac{B^4}{c}\int \sqrt{-\overline{g}}\Lambda \, d\Omega; \tag{115}$$

now by an integration by parts and by using the relation $\overline{\nabla}_\mu \partial^\mu \varphi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\overline{g}}}\partial_\mu(\sqrt{-\overline{g}}\partial^\mu\varphi)$ we get

$$\int \sqrt{-\overline{g}}\Big[2\Big(\frac{B^{'}}{B}\Big)\overline{g}^{\alpha\beta}\overline{\nabla}_\alpha\partial_\beta\varphi\Big]\, d\Omega = \int \sqrt{-\overline{g}}\Big[2\Big(\Big(\frac{B^{'}}{B}\Big)^2 - \frac{B^{''}}{B}\Big)\overline{g}^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\alpha\varphi)(\partial_\beta\varphi)\Big]\, d\Omega \tag{116}$$

and our action becomes

$$S = -\frac{c^3\lambda}{16\pi G}\int \sqrt{-\overline{g}}\Big[\overline{R} + 6\Big(\frac{B^{'}}{B}\Big)^2\overline{g}^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\alpha\varphi)(\partial_\beta\varphi) + \frac{e^2k^2\lambda^2}{4B^6}\overline{F}_{\mu\nu}\overline{F}^{\mu\nu}\Big]\, d\Omega. \tag{117}$$

At this point to get fields equations we consider arbitrary variations of the fields $\overline{g}^{\alpha\beta}$, A_μ and the scalar field φ

$$\delta S = -\frac{c^3\lambda}{16\pi G}\int\sqrt{-\overline{g}}\Big\{\Big[\overline{G}_{\alpha\beta} + 6\Big(\frac{B^{'}}{B}\Big)^2\big((\partial_\alpha\varphi)(\partial_\beta\varphi) - \frac{1}{2}\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\overline{g}^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\rho\varphi)(\partial_\sigma\varphi)\big) + \\ + \frac{\lambda^2e^2k^2}{2B^6}\big(\overline{F}_{\alpha\sigma}\overline{F}_\beta{}^\sigma - \frac{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}}{4}\overline{F}_{\mu\nu}\overline{F}^{\mu\nu}\big)\Big]\delta\overline{g}^{\alpha\beta} - \Big[12\Big(\frac{B^{'}B^{''}}{B^2} - \Big(\frac{B^{'}}{B}\Big)^3\Big)\overline{g}^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\rho\varphi)(\partial_\sigma\varphi) + \\ + 12\Big(\frac{B^{'}}{B}\Big)^2\overline{\nabla}_\mu\partial^\mu\varphi + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\lambda^2e^2k^2B^{'}}{B^7}\overline{F}_{\mu\nu}\overline{F}^{\mu\nu}\Big]\delta\varphi + \Big[\frac{\lambda^2e^2k^2}{4}\overline{\nabla}_\nu\big(\frac{1}{B^6}\overline{F}^{\nu\mu}\big)\Big]\delta A_\mu\Big\}\, d\Omega \tag{118}$$

and then we have

$$\begin{cases} \overline{G}_{\alpha\beta} + 6\Big(\frac{B^{'}}{B}\Big)^2\big((\partial_\alpha\varphi)(\partial_\beta\varphi) - \frac{1}{2}\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\overline{g}^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\rho\varphi)(\partial_\sigma\varphi)\big) + \frac{\lambda^2e^2k^2}{2B^6}\big(\overline{F}_{\alpha\sigma}\overline{F}_\beta{}^\sigma - \frac{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}}{4}\overline{F}_{\mu\nu}\overline{F}^{\mu\nu}\big) = 0 \\ \Big(\frac{B^{''}}{B} - \Big(\frac{B^{'}}{B}\Big)^2\Big)\overline{g}^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\rho\varphi)(\partial_\sigma\varphi) + \Big(\frac{B^{'}}{B}\Big)\overline{\nabla}_\mu\partial^\mu\varphi + \frac{1}{8}\frac{\lambda^2e^2k^2}{B^6}\overline{F}_{\mu\nu}\overline{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0 \\ \overline{\nabla}_\nu\big(\frac{1}{B^6}\overline{F}^{\nu\mu}\big) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{119}$$

To get equations of the same kind as Einstein ones in presence of matter we use the degree of freedom given by the function B, in particular we impose

$$6\Big(\frac{B^{'}}{B}\Big)^2 = \frac{\lambda^2}{B^6} \tag{120}$$

which allows the solution

$$B = D\sqrt[3]{\varphi} \tag{121}$$

and from (119), using the relation (74), we have

$$\overline{G}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\frac{2}{3\varphi^2}\Big\{-\frac{c^4}{8\pi G}\frac{1}{2}\Big[(\partial_\alpha\varphi)(\partial_\beta\varphi) - \frac{1}{2}\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}\overline{g}^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\rho\varphi)(\partial_\sigma\varphi)\Big] - \frac{1}{4\pi}\big(\overline{F}_{\alpha\sigma}\overline{F}_\beta{}^\sigma - \frac{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}}{4}\overline{F}_{\mu\nu}\overline{F}^{\mu\nu}\big)\Big\}, \tag{122}$$

We can interpret the last equation assuming that G, Newton's gravitational constant, in some way varies in the space and in the time on large scale according to

$$G^* = \frac{2G}{3\varphi^2} \tag{123}$$

where φ is a cosmological Klein-Fock field.

3.5 The Gravity-Matter action and the Conformal Factor

In this section we present the problems that arise by getting the conformal transformation on the four-dimensional metric in presence of matter and we show how they can be overcome, thus obtaining a theory with gravity, a Klein-Fock field with a geometric source and spinorial matter fields.

From (98) we get the following relations between tetradic vectors of the old metric and the new ones

$$V^{(\alpha)}{}_{\alpha} = B\overline{V}^{(\alpha)}{}_{\alpha} \tag{124}$$

$$V_{(\alpha)}{}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{B}\overline{V}_{(\alpha)}{}^{\alpha} \tag{125}$$

and we thus obtain

$$\begin{cases} \overline{V}^{(5)}{}_{5} = \frac{\lambda}{B^2} \\ \overline{V}^{(5)}{}_{\mu} = ekA_{\mu}\frac{\lambda}{B^2} \\ \overline{V}_{(5)}{}^{5} = \frac{B^2}{\lambda} \qquad . \end{cases} \tag{126}$$

while the components which were zero previously remain zero.
To get the new spacetemporal Dirac's matrices we start from the tetradic ones that are not modified by the conformal transformation, so we have

$$\overline{\gamma}^{\mu} \equiv \overline{V}_{(\rho)}{}^{\mu}\gamma^{(\rho)} = B\gamma^{\mu} \tag{127}$$

and

$${}^{4}\overline{\gamma}_{\mu} = \overline{V}^{(\rho)}{}_{\mu}\gamma_{(\rho)} = \frac{1}{B}\,{}^{4}\gamma_{\mu} \tag{128}$$

from which we obtain for spinorial connections

$$\Gamma_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{4}\gamma^{\rho}\nabla_{\mu}\gamma_{\rho} = \overline{\Gamma}_{\mu} + \frac{1}{4}\frac{B'}{B}[\overline{\gamma}^{\rho},\overline{\gamma}_{\mu}]\partial_{\rho}\varphi. \tag{129}$$

Now, we can rewrite the lagrangian density of the action (93) as

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda = & -\frac{i\hbar c}{2B}\bar{\psi}\overline{\gamma}^{\mu}\overline{D}_{\mu}\psi + \frac{i\hbar c}{2B}(\overline{D}_{\mu}\bar{\psi})\overline{\gamma}^{\mu}\psi + \frac{i\hbar c}{4}\frac{B'}{B^2}\bar{\psi}\{\overline{\gamma}^{\mu},\overline{\Sigma}^{\rho}{}_{\mu}\}\psi(\partial_{\rho}\varphi) + \\ & -\frac{e}{B}A_{\mu}\bar{\psi}\overline{\gamma}^{\mu}\psi + imc^2\bar{\psi}\psi \end{aligned} \tag{130}$$

where

$$\overline{\Sigma}^{\rho}{}_{\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}[\overline{\gamma}^{\rho},\overline{\gamma}_{\mu}] \tag{131}$$

but, by using the anticommutation rules for the new Dirac's matrices, it is immediate to show that

$$\{\overline{\gamma}^{\mu},\overline{\Sigma}^{\rho}{}_{\mu}\} = 0. \tag{132}$$

So our action is

$$S = \frac{1}{c}\int\sqrt{-g}\Big\{-\frac{i\hbar\lambda cB}{2}\bar{\psi}\overline{\gamma}^{\mu}\overline{D}_{\mu}\psi + \frac{i\hbar\lambda cB}{2}(\overline{D}_{\mu}\bar{\psi})\overline{\gamma}^{\mu}\psi - eB\lambda A_{\mu}\bar{\psi}\overline{\gamma}^{\mu}\psi + imc^2B^2\lambda\bar{\psi}\psi\Big\}\,d^4x \tag{133}$$

and to get the field equations, we can proceed by varying the (133) and we obtain in such a way for the spinorial field

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} i\hbar c\lambda B(\overline{D}_\mu\bar{\psi})\overline{\gamma}^\mu + \frac{i\hbar c\lambda}{2}B^{'}(\partial_\mu\varphi)\bar{\psi}\overline{\gamma}^\mu - eB\lambda A_\mu\bar{\psi}\overline{\gamma}^\mu + imc^2B^2\lambda\bar{\psi} = 0 \\ \\ i\hbar c\lambda B\overline{\gamma}^\mu\overline{D}_\mu\psi + \frac{i\hbar c\lambda}{2}B^{'}(\partial_\mu\varphi)\overline{\gamma}^\mu\psi + eB\lambda A_\mu\overline{\gamma}^\mu\psi - imc^2B^2\lambda\psi = 0; \end{array}\right. \tag{134}$$

these equations bring to the non-conservation of the charge, in fact it is easy to get from (134)

$$\overline{D}_\mu\overline{j}^\mu = -\frac{B^{'}}{B}(\partial_\mu\varphi)\overline{j}^\mu. \tag{135}$$

Such an inconvenience can be overcome by a conformal transformation on the spinorial field

$$\psi = F(\varphi)\psi^* \qquad\qquad \bar{\psi} = F(\varphi)\bar{\psi}^* \tag{136}$$

that brings to a new action given by (133) in which every term is multiplied by a factor $F^2(\varphi)$; in particular the terms $\frac{i\hbar c\lambda}{2}B^{'}(\partial_\mu\varphi)\overline{\gamma}^\mu\psi$ and $\frac{i\hbar c\lambda}{2}B^{'}(\partial_\mu\varphi)\bar{\psi}\overline{\gamma}^\mu$ which produce the (135), become $\frac{i\hbar c\lambda}{2}(BF^2)^{'}(\partial_\mu\varphi)\overline{\gamma}^\mu\psi$ and $\frac{i\hbar c\lambda}{2}(BF^2)^{'}(\partial_\mu\varphi)\bar{\psi}\overline{\gamma}^\mu$ and they disappear by imposing

$$(BF^2)^{'} = 0 \tag{137}$$

which leads to

$$F = \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{B}} \tag{138}$$

where Λ is a constant.
With such a condition, the spinor equations become

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} i\hbar c\lambda\overline{\gamma}^\mu\overline{D}_\mu\psi + e\lambda A_\mu\overline{\gamma}^\mu\psi - imc^2B\lambda\psi = 0 \\ i\hbar c\lambda(\overline{D}_\mu\bar{\psi})\overline{\gamma}^\mu - e\lambda A_\mu\bar{\psi}\overline{\gamma}^\mu + imc^2B\lambda\bar{\psi} = 0 \end{array}\right. \tag{139}$$

while the other field equations with $k = 2\sqrt{G}/ec^2$ are

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \overline{G}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\left[6\left(\frac{B^{'}}{B}\right)^2 T^{\phi}_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\lambda^2}{B^6}T^{EM}_{\alpha\beta} + \lambda\Lambda T^{(Spin+inter)}_{\alpha\beta}\right] \\ \left(\frac{B^{''}}{B} - \left(\frac{B^{'}}{B}\right)^2\right)\overline{g}^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\rho\varphi\partial_\sigma\varphi + \frac{B^{'}}{B}\overline{\nabla}_\rho\partial^\rho\varphi + \frac{G}{2c^4}\frac{\lambda^2}{B^6}\overline{F}_{\mu\nu}\overline{F}^{\mu\nu} - i\frac{4\pi GmB\lambda}{3c^2}\bar{\psi}\psi = 0 \\ \overline{\nabla}_\nu\frac{\lambda^2\overline{F}^{\nu\mu}}{B^6} = \lambda\Lambda\frac{4\pi}{c}\overline{j}^\mu \end{array}\right. \tag{140}$$

where

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} T^{\varphi}_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{c^4}{8\pi G}\left(\partial_\alpha\varphi\partial_\beta\varphi - \frac{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}}{2}\overline{g}^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\rho\varphi\partial_\sigma\varphi\right) \\ T^{EM}_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\left(\overline{F}_{\alpha\sigma}\overline{F}_{\beta}{}^{\sigma} - \frac{\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta}}{4}\overline{F}_{\mu\nu}\overline{F}^{\mu\nu}\right) \\ T^{(Spin+inter)}_{\alpha\beta} = [\frac{i\hbar c}{2}\bar{\psi}\overline{\gamma}_{(\alpha}\overline{D}_{\beta)}\psi - \frac{i\hbar c}{2}(\overline{D}_{(\alpha}\bar{\psi})\overline{\gamma}_{\beta)}\psi + eA_{(\alpha}\bar{\psi}\overline{\gamma}_{\beta)}\psi]. \end{array}\right. \tag{141}$$

We suppose the validity of the (120) and then of the (121) from which we have

$$D = \left(\frac{3\lambda^2}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \tag{142}$$

and now it is easy to see that setting

$$\lambda = 1 \qquad\qquad \Lambda = 1 \tag{143}$$

we obtain:

- Einstein's equations in which G depends on the space-time coordinates and there is a conformal factor in front of the energy-momentum tensor of the matter;
- Maxwell's equations in which the electromagnetic tensor is coupled to the scalar field's gradient;
- the equation describing the dynamics of the field φ, which does not resemble any known motion equation.

3.6 Cosmological Implementation and the Dirac idea

In the last section we will discuss about a possible spacetemporal dependence of some physical quantities such as the electric charge or Newton's gravitational constant.
In order to do this we consider both the Dirac's work about his Large Number Hypothesis [47] and a Chodos and Detweiler work [48]. In the latter they assume a Kasner metric to describe their five-dimensional universe and show a suitable scenario in which the compactification process is due exclusively to the temporal evolution of the universe. We will assume the Kasner metric as well to describe our 5-dimensional universe, identifying so the Klein-Fock scalar field as a time depending function. This will allow us to deduce a temporal dependence of fundamental constants by the analysis of four-dimensional Einstein's equations and Dirac's equations for the spinorial field and its adjoint.
As Chodos and Detweiler do, we assume the following Kasner metric:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{d} (t/t_0)^{2p_i} (dx^i)^2 \tag{144}$$

with the condition on p_i

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} p_i = \sum_{i=1}^{d} p_i^2 = 1. \tag{145}$$

In order to guarantee the spatial isotropy of the universe we can chose

$$p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = \frac{1}{2} \qquad p_5 = -\frac{1}{2}, \tag{146}$$

obtaining so

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + (t/t_0)[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2] + (t_0/t)(dx^5)^2. \tag{147}$$

It is clear that for $t = t_0$ the universe has four spatial dimensions of the same length. Instead for $t << t_0$ it has essentially only one spacial dimension whereas for $t >> t_0$ it assumes the current configuration with three spatial dimensions and a fifth one of length $L' = (t_0/t)^{1/2}L$.

By rewriting the line element (147) pointing out the conformal transformation we have

$$ds^2 = B^2(\varphi)\big[-\frac{dt^2}{B^2(\varphi)} + \frac{t}{t_0}\frac{d\vec{l}^2}{B^2(\varphi)}\big] + \frac{t_0}{t}(dx^5)^2 \tag{148}$$

where there aren't the electromagnetic terms because we consider them as a perturbation.

Thanks to expression (114) and to the relation between the old and the new scalar field we can write

$$\frac{\lambda^2}{B^4(\varphi)} = \frac{t_0}{t} \tag{149}$$

from which, knowing that $B^4 \propto \varphi^{4/3}$, we can affirm that

$$\varphi^{-4/3} \propto (t_0/t) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \varphi \propto (t_0/t)^{-3/4}. \tag{150}$$

Now we consider the Einstein's equations and Dirac's equations in the conformal reference frame. From the former we obtain

$$G \propto \varphi^{-2} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad G \propto (t_0/t)^{3/2} \tag{151}$$

while from the latter, considering the speed of light as a constant, we can argue the constancy of the electric charge and the dependence on time of masses in accordance with the law

$$m \propto B(\varphi) \propto (t_0/t)^{-1/4}. \tag{152}$$

Now if we analyze the ratio estimated by Dirac we obtain

$$e^2/m^2G \propto t \tag{153}$$

in accordance with his hypothesis.

Nevertheless, t is the age of the universe only for a five-dimensional observer whereas an observer linked to the conformal reference frame will measure τ as age of the universe that as we will see is proportional to $t^{3/4}$.

To show the last statement we reconsider the (148) from which it is clear that the coefficient of dt^2 in the square brackets is proportional to $1/\sqrt{t}$.

Now we define the variable τ in such a way that the metric become

$$ds^2 = B^2(\varphi)\big[-d\tau^2 + f(\tau)d\vec{l}^2\big] + g(\tau)(dx^5)^2 \tag{154}$$

where g and f depend on τ.
It is easy to show that the condition

$$d\tau^2 = \frac{dt^2}{B^2(\varphi)} \tag{155}$$

implies

$$\tau \propto t^{3/4}. \tag{156}$$

So the ratio between the electric and the gravitational attraction for a four-dimensional observer is proportional to $\tau^{4/3}$ not according with Dirac's hypothesis.

4 Geometrization of electro-weak model

4.1 Space-time manifold and standard model particles

Let consider the application to a space-time manifold $V^4 \otimes S^1 \otimes S^2$; the extra-dimensional space is such that it is possible to take one killing vector on S^1 and three Killing vectors on S^2 whose algebra is the same of the group $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$ that therefore can be geometrized in this manifold in a Kaluza-Klein approach.
In this case we introduce three α fields, one describing S^1 (α') and two S^2, that, for simplicity, we assume equal (α).
From the dimensional reduction of the seven-dimensions Einstein-Hilbert action we get the ordinary Einstein-Hilbert action, the Yang-Mills action for the four gauge bosons plus the terms that describe the dynamics of the fields α (30).
We introduce matter by spinorial fields; in particular we take 8-components spinors and this choice fixes univocally the number of space-time dimensions. In fact, to geometrize $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$ we need at least of an adjunctive three dimensional space, like $S^1 \otimes S^2$, but to define Dirac algebra are necessary n matrix which anticommute among them and the maximum number of 8×8 matrix that anticommute is 7; thus we must consider a seven dimensions space time manifold.
In particular we have the following representation for Dirac matrices

$$\gamma^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \gamma^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^1 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \gamma^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^3 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_5 & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma_5 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \gamma^{(5)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma_5 \\ \gamma_5 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma^{(6)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\gamma_5 \\ -i\gamma_5 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, we can reproduce all the particles of standard electro-weak model by two spinors for every leptonic family and for every quark generation

$$\Psi_{lL} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V^K}} e^{-iT_{\bar{i}}\lambda^{\bar{i}}_{(L)\bar{Q}}\Theta^{\bar{Q}}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\lambda^{\bar{0}}_{(L)\bar{Q}}\Theta^{\bar{Q}}} \psi_{\nu_l L} \\ e^{-i\lambda^{\bar{0}}_{(L)\bar{Q}}\Theta^{\bar{Q}}} \psi_{lL} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Psi_{lR} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V^K}} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{\nu_l R} \\ e^{-i\lambda^{\bar{0}}_{(lR)\bar{Q}}\Theta^{\bar{Q}}} \psi_{lR} \end{pmatrix} \tag{157}$$

$$\Psi_{gL} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V^K}} e^{-iT_{\bar{i}}\lambda^{\bar{i}}_{(L)\bar{Q}}\Theta^{\bar{Q}}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\lambda^{\bar{0}}_{(qL)\bar{Q}}\Theta^{\bar{Q}}} u_{gL} \\ e^{-i\lambda^{\bar{0}}_{(qL)\bar{Q}}\Theta^{\bar{Q}}} d_{gL} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Psi_{qR} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V^K}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\lambda^{\bar{0}}_{(uR)\bar{Q}}\Theta^{\bar{Q}}} u_{gR} \\ e^{-i\lambda^{\bar{0}}_{(dR)\bar{Q}}\Theta^{\bar{Q}}} d_{gR} \end{pmatrix} \tag{158}$$

where for λ we have

$$a_{\dots\bar{Q}}(\lambda^{-1}_{\dots})^{\bar{P}}_{\bar{Q}} = \frac{1}{V^K}\int_{B^K}\sqrt{-\gamma}\Big(\xi^m_{\bar{Q}}\partial_m\Theta^{\bar{P}}\Big)d^Ky \tag{159}$$

with

$$a_{L\bar{0}} = -\frac{1}{2} \qquad a_{L\bar{1}} = a_{L\bar{2}} = a_{L\bar{3}} = 1 \tag{160}$$

$$a_{lR\bar{0}} = -1 \tag{161}$$

$$a_{qL\bar{0}} = +\frac{1}{6} \qquad a_{qL\bar{1}} = a_{qL\bar{2}} = a_{qL\bar{3}} = 1 \tag{162}$$

$$a_{uR\bar{0}} = \frac{2}{3} \qquad a_{dR} = -\frac{1}{3}. \tag{163}$$

and $\frac{1}{2}T_{\bar{i}}$ are $SU(2)$ generators in an eight-dimensional representation and can be taken as

$$T_{\bar{1}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad T_{\bar{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -iI \\ iI & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad T_{\bar{3}} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix} \tag{164}$$

where I is four-dimensional identity.

Now, if we consider translations along extra-dimensions given by the second of (3), we found that the leptonic fields transform as

$$\psi'_L = \Big(I - i\frac{1}{2}\delta\omega^{\bar{0}}I + i\frac{1}{2}\delta\omega^{\bar{i}}T_{\bar{i}}\Big)\psi_L \tag{165}$$

$$\psi'_{lR} = (I - i\delta\omega^{\bar{0}}I)\psi_{lR} \tag{166}$$

$$\psi'_{\nu_l R} = \psi_{\nu_l R} \tag{167}$$

which are the correct transformations laws in standard model [45]; one can verify that the same result stands for quarks.

So, in this model we don't have the chirality problem, which typically affects theories which deal with electro-weak model geometrization [43] [44]; this because in those theories they associate gauge transformations to rotations of multi-dimensional tetrad and look for complex irreducible representations of O(1; n-1) which are solution of

$$\gamma^m\partial_m\Psi = 0. \tag{168}$$

In our case, instead, *gauge transformations are reproduced by translations and the different transformation proprieties between left-handed and right-handed states descends not from the fact that they are complex representations of any group but from a different dependence by extra-dimensional coordinates.*

Thus the total action is

$$\begin{aligned}S = -\frac{1}{c}\int\Big[\frac{c^4}{16\pi G_{(7)}}{}^7R + \sum_{l=1}^{3}\Big(\frac{i\hbar c}{2}(D_{\bar{A}}\bar{\Psi}_{lL}\gamma^{\bar{A}}\Psi_{lL} - \bar{\Psi}_{lL}\gamma^{\bar{A}}D_{\bar{A}}\Psi_{lL} + \\ +D_{\bar{A}}\bar{\Psi}_{lR}\gamma^{\bar{A}}\Psi_{lR} - \bar{\Psi}_{lR}\gamma^{\bar{A}}D_{\bar{A}}\Psi_{lR})\Big) + \frac{i\hbar c}{2}\sum_{g=1}^{3}\Big(D_A\bar{\Psi}_{gL}\gamma^A\Psi_{gL} - \\ -\bar{\Psi}_{gL}\gamma^A D_A\Psi_{gL} + D_A\bar{\Psi}_{gR}\gamma^A\Psi_{gR} - \bar{\Psi}_{lR}\gamma^A D_A\Psi_{gR}\Big)\Big]\sqrt{-g}\sqrt{-\gamma}d^4xd^3y\end{aligned}$$

where the sums run over the three leptonic families and the three quark generations; we, now, insert the coupling constants by redefining gauge bosons

$$A_\mu^{\bar{0}} \Rightarrow kg'B_\mu \qquad A_\mu^{\bar{i}} \Rightarrow kgW_\mu^{\bar{i}} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3) \tag{169}$$

and in this way, after the dimensional splitting with the suitable choice for spinorial connections, we have

$$\begin{aligned}S = -\frac{1}{c}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\Big[\frac{c^4}{16\pi G}R + \frac{c^4}{16\pi G}\Big(- 2g^{\mu\nu}\sum_{n=1}^{3}\frac{\nabla_\mu\partial_\nu\alpha^n}{\alpha^n} - g^{\mu\nu}\sum_{n\neq m=1}^{3}\frac{\partial_\mu\alpha^m}{\alpha^m}\frac{\partial_\nu\alpha^n}{\alpha^n}\Big) + \\ +\frac{c^4}{16\pi G}\frac{1}{4}k^2g^2(\alpha')^2\sum_{i=1}^{3}W_{\mu\nu}^iW^{i\mu\nu} + \frac{c^4}{16\pi G}\frac{1}{4}k^2g'^2(\alpha')^2B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} + \sum_{l=1}^{3}\Big(\frac{i\hbar c}{2}(D_{\bar{\mu}}^{(4)}\bar{\psi}_L^l\gamma^{\bar{\mu}}\psi_L^l - \\ -\bar{\psi}_L^l\gamma^{\bar{\mu}}D_{\bar{\mu}}^{(4)}\psi_L^l + D_{\bar{\mu}}^{(4)}\bar{\psi}_{lR}\gamma^{\bar{\mu}}\psi_{lR} - \bar{\psi}_{lR}\gamma^{\bar{\mu}}D_{\bar{\mu}}^{(4)}\psi_{lR} + D_{\bar{\mu}}^{(4)}\bar{\psi}_{\nu_lR}\gamma^{\bar{\mu}}\psi_{\nu_lR} - \bar{\psi}_{\nu_lR}\gamma^{\bar{\mu}}D_{\bar{\mu}}^{(4)}\psi_{\nu_lR}) + \\ +\hbar ckg'a_{L\bar{0}}\bar{\psi}_L\gamma^\mu B_\mu\psi_L + \hbar kcg\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_{L\bar{i}}\bar{\psi}_L\gamma^\mu W_\mu^i\psi_L + \hbar kcg'a_{R\bar{0}}\bar{\psi}_{lR}\gamma^\mu B_\mu\psi_{lR}\Big) + \frac{c^4}{16\pi G}R_N + \\ +\sum_{g=1}^{3}\Big(\frac{i\hbar c}{2}(D_{\bar{\mu}}^{(4)}\bar{\psi}_L^g\gamma^{\bar{\mu}}\psi_L^g - \bar{\psi}_L^g\gamma^{\bar{\mu}}D_{\bar{\mu}}^{(4)}\psi_L^g + D_{\bar{\mu}}^{(4)}\bar{u}_{gR}\gamma^{\bar{\mu}}u_{gR} - \bar{u}_{gR}\gamma^{\bar{\mu}}D_{\bar{\mu}}^{(4)}u_{gR} + \\ +D_{\bar{\mu}}^{(4)}\bar{d}_{gR}\gamma^{\bar{\mu}}d_{gR} - \bar{d}_{gR}\gamma^{\bar{\mu}}D_{\bar{\mu}}^{(4)}d_{gR}\Big) + \hbar kcg'a_{L\bar{0}}\bar{\psi}_{gL}\gamma^\mu B_\mu\psi_{gL}+ \\ +\hbar kcg\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_{gL\bar{i}}\bar{\psi}_L^g\gamma^\mu W_\mu^i\psi_L^g + \hbar kcg'a_{uR\bar{0}}\bar{u}_{gR}\gamma^\mu B_\mu u_{gR} + \hbar kcg'a_{dR\bar{0}}\bar{d}_{gR}\gamma^\mu B_\mu d_{gR}\Big)\Big]\end{aligned} \tag{170}$$

with

$$\psi_L^l = \begin{pmatrix}\psi_{\nu_lL}\\ \psi_{lL}\end{pmatrix} \qquad \psi_L^g = \begin{pmatrix}u_{gL}\\ d_{gL}\end{pmatrix} \tag{171}$$

$$\begin{aligned}B_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\nu B_\mu - \partial_\mu B_\nu \\ W_{\mu\nu}^i &= \partial_\nu W_\mu^i - \partial_\mu W_\nu^i + kgC_{jk}^iW_\mu^jW_\nu^k.\end{aligned}$$

Imposing that the action (170) coincides with the electro-weak standard model one before symmetry breaking, we get

$$\frac{c^4}{16\pi G}k^2 g^2 \alpha^2 = 1 \qquad \hbar c k g = g \tag{172}$$

$$\tag{173}$$

$$\frac{c^4}{16\pi G}k^2 g'^2 \alpha'^2 = 1 \qquad \hbar c k g' = g' \tag{174}$$

and thus extra-dimensions lengths are determined by the coupling constants g and g', according with Weinberg [49],

$$\alpha^2 = 16\pi G\left(\frac{\hbar}{gc}\right)^2 \qquad \alpha'^2 = 16\pi G\left(\frac{\hbar}{g'c}\right)^2; \tag{175}$$

by the relations with electric charge and Weinberg angle, we, finally, have an estimate of the compattification

$$\alpha = 0.18\times 10^{-31}cm \qquad \alpha' = 0.33\times 10^{-31}cm. \tag{176}$$

Therefore, we achieve the geometrization of electro-weak standard model in a seven-dimensional space-time manifold and we also obtain an estimate of extra-dimensional lengths which agrees with the hypothesi that they actually are not observable.

4.2 Spontaneous symmetry breaking

At this point we need to reproduce symmetry breaking mechanism; so we introduce in the theory an adjunctive two components scalar field Φ subjected to Higgs potential

$$\Lambda_\Phi = \frac{1}{2}\eta^{(A)(B)}\partial_{(A)}\Phi^\dagger\partial_{(B)}\Phi - \mu^2\Phi^\dagger\Phi - \lambda(\Phi^\dagger\Phi)^2, \tag{177}$$

and with the following dependence by extra-coordinates

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}}e^{-iT_{\bar{i}}\lambda^{\bar{i}}_{\Phi\bar{Q}}\Theta^{\bar{Q}}}\begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\lambda^{\bar{0}}_{\Phi 1\bar{R}}\Theta^{\bar{R}}}\phi_1 \\ e^{-i\lambda^{\bar{0}}_{\Phi 2\bar{R}}\Theta^{\bar{R}}}\phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{178}$$

where λ coefficients are defined by (159) with

$$a_{\Phi 1\bar{0}} = -\frac{1}{2} \qquad a_{\Phi 2\bar{0}} = \frac{1}{2} \qquad a_{\Phi\bar{i}} = 1. \tag{179}$$

If we carry out the dimensional reduction of the action we obtain from (177), imposing that the functions $\Theta^{\bar{P}}$ satisfy

$$\int d^3y\sqrt{-\gamma}\frac{1}{V^K}\xi^m_{\bar{M}}\partial_m\Theta^{\bar{Q}}\xi^n_{\bar{N}}\partial_n\Theta^{\bar{R}} = a_{\Phi 1\bar{M}}(\lambda^{-1}_{\Phi 1})^{\bar{Q}}_{\bar{M}}a_{\Phi 1\bar{N}}(\lambda^{-1}_{\Phi 1})^{\bar{R}}_{\bar{N}} = a_{\Phi 2\bar{M}}(\lambda^{-1}_{\Phi 2})^{\bar{Q}}_{\bar{M}}a_{\Phi 2\bar{N}}(\lambda^{-1}_{\Phi 2})^{\bar{R}}_{\bar{N}} \tag{180}$$

$$\int e^m_{(m)}\partial_m\Theta^{\bar{Q}}e^n_{(n)}\partial_n\Theta^{\bar{P}}\sqrt{-\gamma}d^3y=\frac{1}{\alpha^{\bar{Q}}\alpha^{\bar{P}}}\int e'^m_{(m)}\partial_m\Theta^{\bar{Q}}e'^n_{(n)}\partial_n\Theta^{\bar{P}}\sqrt{-\gamma}d^3y=\frac{1}{\alpha^{\bar{Q}}\alpha^{\bar{P}}}K^{\bar{Q}\bar{P}}_{(m)(n)}$$

with $K^{\bar{Q}\bar{R}}_{\bar{M}\bar{N}}$ constant,

$$S_\Phi=\frac{1}{c}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\Big[\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\phi)^\dagger D_\nu\phi-\mu^2\phi^\dagger\phi-\lambda(\phi^\dagger\phi)^2+\frac{1}{2}G\phi^\dagger\phi\Big]$$

where

$$\phi=\begin{pmatrix}\phi_1\\ \phi_2\end{pmatrix} \tag{181}$$

and

$$G=\Bigg(\sum_{\bar{P}=0}^{3}\frac{1}{(\alpha^{\bar{P}})^2}\lambda^{\bar{P}}_{\Phi\bar{Q}}\lambda^{\bar{P}}_{\Phi\bar{S}}+\frac{1}{\alpha^{\bar{0}}\alpha^{\bar{3}}}(\lambda^{\bar{3}}_{\Phi\bar{Q}}\lambda^{\bar{0}}_{\Phi\bar{S}}+\lambda^{\bar{0}}_{\Phi\bar{Q}}\lambda^{\bar{3}}_{\Phi\bar{S}})\Bigg)\eta^{\bar{m}\bar{n}}K^{\bar{R}\bar{S}}_{\bar{m}\bar{n}}. \tag{182}$$

Thus, we have after dimensional reduction a four-dimensional field with the same action as Higgs field; but, from (178), the transformation laws for ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 under translations along S^1 are

$$\phi'_1=\Big(I-i\frac{1}{2}\delta\omega^{\bar{0}}I\Big)\phi_1 \tag{183}$$

$$\phi'_2=\Big(I+i\frac{1}{2}\delta\omega^{\bar{0}}I\Big)\phi_2. \tag{184}$$

so they transform in different ways under U(1) and they are *two hypercharge singlet, not a doublet as in standard model.* Thus our model predicts that Higgs field has two components with different hypercharge.

In this way, we can reproduce invariant mass terms for fermions by

$$\Lambda_{l\phi}=g_l(\bar{\Psi}_{lL}\Phi\Psi_{lR}+\bar{\Psi}_{lR}\Phi^\dagger\Psi_{lL})+g_{\nu_l}(\bar{\Psi}_{lL}\widetilde{\Phi}\Psi_{lR}+\bar{\Psi}_{lR}\widetilde{\Phi}^\dagger\Psi_{lL}) \tag{185}$$

where

$$\widetilde{\Phi}=-i[(\Phi)^\dagger T_{\bar{2}}]^T \tag{186}$$

and

$$\bar{\Psi}_{lL}\Phi\Psi_{lR}=\sum_{r=1}^{4}\bar{\Psi}_{lLr}\Phi_1\Psi_{lRr}+\sum_{r=5}^{8}\bar{\Psi}_{lLr}\Phi_2\Psi_{lRr}. \tag{187}$$

At this point, spontaneous symmetry breaking is achieved imposing the following aspectation value on vacuum for Φ

$$\Phi=\begin{pmatrix}0\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+\sigma(x^\mu))\end{pmatrix} \tag{188}$$

which leads to just electric charge conservation.

There are, however, in this model problems in reproducing Higgs' mass: in fact dimensional reduction produces an adjunctive mass term

$$G \cong \frac{1}{(\alpha^{\bar{P}})^2}, \tag{189}$$

which using (176) is $\approx 10^{35} GeV$ so much greater than mass estimates ($m_H \lesssim 200 GeV$) and, thus, it imposes an extremely accurate fine-tuning on the parameter μ^2.

4.3 α as Klein-Gordon fields

Let consider the part of action (170) that refers to α

$$S_\alpha = \frac{c^3}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \Big(-2g^{\mu\nu} \sum_{\bar{n}=1}^{3} \frac{\nabla_\mu \partial_\nu \alpha^{\bar{n}}}{\alpha^{\bar{n}}} - g^{\mu\nu} \sum_{\bar{n}\neq\bar{m}=1}^{3} \frac{\partial_\mu \alpha^{\bar{m}}}{\alpha^{\bar{m}}} \frac{\partial_\nu \alpha^{\bar{n}}}{\alpha^{\bar{n}}} \Big); \tag{190}$$

where we introduce $\widetilde{A}$

$$\frac{\partial_\mu \alpha^m}{\alpha^m} = \partial_\mu \widetilde{A}^m \Rightarrow \widetilde{A}^m = \ln \alpha^m; \tag{191}$$

and, by the diagonalization of the second term of (190), we get the transformation

$$\begin{cases} A^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\widetilde{A}^1 + \widetilde{A}^2 + \widetilde{A}^3) \\ \\ A^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(-\widetilde{A}^1 + 2\widetilde{A}^2 - \widetilde{A}^3) \\ \\ A^3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\widetilde{A}^1 - \widetilde{A}^3) \end{cases} \tag{192}$$

which lead to

$$g^{\mu\nu} \sum_{\bar{n}\neq\bar{m}=1}^{3} \frac{\partial_\mu \alpha^{\bar{m}}}{\alpha^{\bar{m}}} \frac{\partial_\nu \alpha^{\bar{n}}}{\alpha^{\bar{n}}} = g^{\mu\nu}(2\partial_\mu A^1 \partial_\nu A^1 - \partial_\mu A^2 \partial_\nu A^2 - \partial_\mu A^3 \partial_\nu A^3) \tag{193}$$

and

$$2g^{\mu\nu} \sum_{\bar{n}=1}^{3} \frac{\nabla_\mu \partial_\nu \alpha^{\bar{n}}}{\alpha^{\bar{n}}} = g^{\mu\nu}(\sqrt{3}\partial_\mu \partial_\nu A^1 + 2\partial_\mu A^1 \partial_\nu A^1 + 2\partial_\mu A^2 \partial_\nu A^2 + 2\partial_\mu A^3 \partial_\nu A^3). \tag{194}$$

We immediately see that the fields A^2 and A^3 are Klein-Gordon fields, while for A^1 we define a conformal transformation on the four-dimensional metric and a transformation on A^1 itself

$$g_{\mu\nu} = B^2(A^1)\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \qquad A^1 \Rightarrow D(A^1) \tag{195}$$

where B and D are class C^2 not zero functions.
Lagrangian density in terms of the new metric is

$$\Lambda = -\frac{c^4}{16\pi G_{(4+K)}}\sqrt{-g}B^2V^K\left[\bar{R} - \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\bar{m}\bar{n}}\alpha^{\bar{n}}\alpha^{\bar{m}}\bar{F}^{\bar{m}}_{\mu\nu}\bar{F}^{\bar{n}\mu\nu} - \bar{g}^{\mu\nu}\sum_{\bar{n}=2}^{3}\partial_\mu A^m\partial_\nu A^m - \right.$$

$$\left. -2\bar{g}^{\mu\nu}\bar{\nabla}_\mu\partial_\nu A^1\left(3\frac{B'}{B} - 2D'\right) - \bar{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu A^1\partial_\nu A^1\left(4D'' - 6\frac{B''}{B} + 8\frac{B'}{B}D' + 5(D')^2\right) + R_N\right]$$

and using the degrees of freedom given by the functions B and D we impose

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 3\frac{B'}{B} - 2D' = 0 \\ \\ 4D'' - 6\frac{B''}{B} + 8\frac{B'}{B}D' + 5(D')^2 = \frac{69}{4}d^2 \end{array}\right. \qquad (196)$$

and thus

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} D = dA^1 + g \\ B = fe^{dA^1} \end{array}\right. \qquad (197)$$

with d, f e g arbitrary constants. For the space $S^1 \otimes S^2$ we have

$$V^K \propto \alpha^1\alpha^2\alpha^3 = e^{\sqrt{3}A^1} \qquad (198)$$

so we can take f and d so that

$$B^2V^K = 1 \qquad (199)$$

and with the transformation

$$A^1 \Rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\sqrt{69}A^1 \qquad (200)$$

we obtain for the lagrangian density

$$\Lambda = -\frac{c^4}{16\pi G_{(4+K)}}\sqrt{-g}\left[\bar{R} - \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\bar{m}\bar{n}}\alpha^{\bar{n}}\alpha^{\bar{m}}\bar{F}^{\bar{m}}_{\mu\nu}\bar{F}^{\bar{n}\mu\nu} - \bar{g}^{\mu\nu}\sum_{\bar{n}=1}^{3}\partial_\mu A^n\partial_\nu A^m + R_N(A^n)\right]; \qquad (201)$$

therefore we can interpret the scalar fields contained in the extra-dimensional metric as Klein-Gordon fields interacting each other.
This scalar fields may be used to derive spontaneous symmetry breaking mechanisms, that so would be related to geometric proprieties of space-time and would not require the introduction of an adjunctive scalar field and the fine-tuning on its potential parameters. We also observe that the number of α fields is equal to the number of degrees of freedom necessary to attribute transversal components and so masses to three gauge bosons even if we do not know a spontaneous symmetry breaking mechanism able to achieve this aim, in fact in standard electro-weak model Higgs fields has got four independent components.

5 Conclusions

In this work we presented the generalization of Kaluza-Klein theories to general compact homogeneous extra-dimensional spaces in order to geometrize a generic gauge group theory.
Beside the geometrization of the bosonic component, well-know in literature, the main results of our work have been

- the identification of gauge transformations with extra-dimensional translations and the interpretation of gauge charges as extra-dimensional momentum components, so that the proprieties of interaction of fields descend from their dependence from extra-coordinates;

- the geometrization of gauge connections for spinors, that lead to introduce matter by free spinors.

Therefore, by starting from Einstein-Hilbert plus Dirac action the dimensional splitting gives a four-dimensional theory that describes gravity, gauge bosons, spinorial fields and their interactions; however to eliminate some adjunctive free currents terms we had to assume not-standard spinorial connections.
In comparing the model with known theories, we eliminated extra-coordinates by an integration, whose explanation is related to not-observability of extra-dimensions.
Then, as an application to a gauge group U(1) we described the original pentadimensional Kaluza-Klein theory in presence of free spinorial matter fields with a phase dependence on the fifth coordinate; in this way we got the issue to geometrize QED.
We also showed how, by a conformal transformation on the four dimensional metric, it is possible to interpret the not well-known scalar field of the original theory as a Klein-Fock one and then we reproduced the theory with spinors after the conformal transformation.
We also presented the application to the electro-weak model and thus to a non-abelian gauge group: we considered a space-time manifold $V^4 \otimes S^1 \otimes S^2$ and we reproduced all standard model particle by two spinors for every leptonic family and quark generation, with suitable dependence from extra-coordinates in order to have the correct interaction proprieties and to overall the chirality problem. We also obtained from coupling constants an estimate of extra-dimensions lengths. Finally to achieve spontaneous symmetry breaking we showed how the dimensional splitting of a scalar field with two opposite hypercharge components could lead to the Higgs field plus invariant mass terms for fermions, even if we need an extremely accurate fine-tuning on the parameter in the potential that suggested us to look for alternative ways. We retain that one of this could be related to the scalar fields in the extra-dimensional metric that can be interpreted as Klein-Gordon fields.

However, in this kind of theories there are problems that concern the rule of extra-dimensional spinorial connections and the explanation of the compactification and of the breaking of general invariance, for which a both solution we retain could be find by spontaneous compactification mechanisms.

Moreover the formulation of a quantistic theory of gravity, because of compattification, become, if possible, more important when we introduce extra-dimensions; in particular models based on non commutative geometries for the adjunctive space [50] [51] seems to tend toward this issue and they also could reproduce in a geometrical way spontaneous symmetry breaking mechanism [52].
Finally Kaluza-Klein theories' prospectives are applications to more complex gauge group in order to geometrize strong interaction or Grand Unification scheme.

References

[1] T.Kaluza, *On the Unity Problem of Physics*, Sitzungseber. Press. Akad. Wiss. Phys. Math. Klasse, **K1**, (1921), 966-972

[2] O.Klein, Z. F. Physik **37**, (1926), 895

[3] O.Klein, Nature **118**, (1926), 516

[4] Y. Thiry, Comptes Rendus (Paris) **226**, (1948), 216

[5] P. Jordan, *Ann. Phisik* **1**, (1947), 219

[6] L. Landau, E.M. Lifsits, *Teoria dei campi*, Editori Riuniti, (1999)

[7] B. De Witt, *Relativity, Groups and Topology*, eds. C. De Witt and B. De Witt, Gordon and Breach, New York, (1964), 725

[8] J. Rayski, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **27** (1965), 947

[9] R. Kerner, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **9**, (1968), 143

[10] A. Trautman, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **1**, (1970), 29

[11] Y.M. Cho, P.G.O. Freund, *J. Math. Phys.* **16**, (1975), 2029

[12] Y.M. Cho, P.G.O. Freund, *Phys. Rev.* **D12**, (1975), 1711

[13] P.D.B. Collins, A.D. Martin, E.J. Squires, *Particle physics and cosmology*, Willey, New York, (1989)

[14] T. Appelquist, A. Chodos, P. Frund, *Modern Kaluza-Klein theories*, Addison Wesley Publishing, Inc., (1987)

[15] I.M. Overduin and PS. Wesson, *Physics Reports*, **283**, (1997), 303

[16] E. Cremmer, B. Julia and J. Scherk, *Phys. Lett.*, **768**, (1978), 409

[17] M.J. Duff, B.E.W. Nilsson, C.N. Pope, *Phys. Rep.* **130**, (1986), 1

[18] G. Montani, *Int. Journ. Theor. Phys*, (2005), in press

[19] G. Lesser, *Phys. Rev.* **D25**, (1982), 3202; ibid **D27** (1982) 1401

[20] E. Schmutzer, Unified field theories of more than 4 dimensions, proc. international school of cosmology and gravitation (Erice), World Scientific, Singapore, (1983), 81

[21] E. Schmutzer, *Astron. Nachr.* **311**, (1990), 329

[22] E. Schmutzer, *Fortschr. Phys.* **43**, (1995), 613

[23] P.S. Wesson, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **22**, (1990), 707

[24] P.S. Wesson, J. Ponce de Leon, *J. Math. Phys.* **33**, (1992), 3883

[25] P.S. Wesson, J. Ponce de Leon, *J. Math. Phys.* **34**, (1993), 4080

[26] P.S. Wesson, J. Ponce de Leon, P. Lim, H. Liu, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **D2**, (1993), 163

[27] P.S. Wesson, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A10**, (1995), 15

[28] S. Rippl, C. Romero, R. Tavakol, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **12**, (1995), 2411

[29] P.S. Wesson et al., *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A11**, (1996), 3247

[30] A. Salam, J. Strathdee, *Ann. Phys.* **141** (NY), (1982), 316

[31] T. Applequist, A. Chodos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, (1983), 141

[32] T. Applequist, A. Chodos, *Phys. Rev.* **D28**, (1983), 772

[33] L. Dolan, M.J. Duff, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52**, (1984), 14

[34] E. Cremmer, J. Scherk, Nucl. Phys. **B118**, (1977), 61

[35] E. Cremmer, J. Scherk, Nucl. Phys., **B108**, (1976), 409

[36] Z. Horvath, L. Palla, E. Cremmer, J. Scherk, *Nucl. Phys.* **B127**, (1977), 57

[37] J.F. Luciani, *Nucl. Phys* **B135**, (1978), 111

[38] Z. Horvath, L. Palla, *Nucl. Phys.* **B142**, (1978), 327

[39] S. Randjbar-Daemi, R. Percacci, *Phys. Lett.* **B117**, (1982), 41

[40] S. Randjbar-Daemi, A. Salam, J. Strathdee, *Nucl. Phys.* **B214**, (1983), 491

[41] Y. Tosa, *Phys. Rev.* **D30**, (1984), 339

[42] D. Bailin, A. Love, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **50**, (1987), 1087

[43] E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.*, **B186**, (1981), 412

[44] C. Wetterich, *Nucl. Phys.* **B222**, (1983), 20

[45] F.Mandl, G.Shaw, *Quantum field theory*, J.Wiley and Sons Publishing, (1984)

[46] N.D. Birrel, P.C. Davis, *Quantum field theory in curved space-time*, Cambridge University press, Cambridge, (1982)

[47] P. M. Dirac, *The variation of G and quantum theory*, proc. of the M.Grossmann meet. on Gen. Rel., R. Ruffini Editor, North Holland Pub. Ed., (1982)

[48] A. Chodos, S. Detweiler, Phys. Rev., **D21**, (1980), 2167

[49] S. Weinberg, *Phys. Lett.* **B125**, (1983), 265

[50] A. Connes, *Non commutative geometry*, San Diego:Academic Press, (1994)

[51] J. Madore, J. Mouran, *Non-commutative Kaluza-Klein theory*, arXiv: hep-th/9601169 v1, Jan 31, (1996)

[52] Y. Okumura, S. Suzuki, K. Morita, *Modified reconstruction of Standard Model in Non-Commutative differential geometry*, airXiv: hep-th/9510038 v2, Oct 17, (1995)